Mirror Magic

A practical guid to implement Anarchy in the 3rd Millennium

A scientific definition of the word Magic

The word "Magic" tends to scare scientists and left hemisphere humans. It is a word loaded with prejudice and assumptions. However, if you neutrally observe it, it is nothing but a word. We have millions of similar words in our languages, all attempting to help us understand the world around us, and give us the ability to communicate with each other, and such understand each others intentions. In order to understand this particular word though, a little bit of context is required. So let us look at the word "wizard" first. A "wizard" is historically thought of as a person whom is able to "cast spells", that creates "change" to his surroundings.

To understand "magic", we must first understand what a "wizard" is. And to understand what a "wizard" is, we must understand what it implies to "cast a spell". Don't worry though, it'll get clear as we proceed, and as we understand what it implies to "cast a spell", all other parts of our equation will naturally fall in place.

The spell caster

To cast a spell, is actually easily understood, even to a 5 year old child, at least from its conceptual point of view. However, it has been conspicuously turned into something occult, vicious, and dangerous. This was done because of the power it yields to those able to successfully cast spells.

In order to understand what spell casting implies though, I have a confession to come with. You see, I am casting a spell at this very moment. I am spelling words and sentences, and I am casting them out into the world, for others to read. That is literally what the word "spell casting"

means. Of course, 500 years ago, and some would argue still today, simply being literate was dangerous to the existing power structures, whom wanted to have a monopoly on "spell casting" – Which is why we still refer to the Bible as "the word" today, and all other sources of words are still today in some circles considered "dangerous knowledge". The power structures 500 years ago, such as the Vatican and our Kings, wanted to keep a monopoly on "spell casting", because by allowing everyone to cast spells, their existing powers would vanish, due to people being able to freely share ideas, and such free themselves from vicious powers, seeking to subdue them and enslave them. Hence the Bible teaches us the following.

In the beginning there was the word, the word was with God, the word was God

And history teaches us that the only holy source of words, can be found in the Bible. This is of course by design, to scare the masses away from people with ideas, making sure enlightened human beings are able to enlighten as few other humans as possible. For that reason, I must the Bible as my source occasionally, as I teach you Magic over the following pages.

Old powers simply wanted to enslave "God", subdue God (the "word"), and prevent everybody else from taking part in creation, through sharing new ideas, such as democracy, etc, which obviously was a source of fear for Kings, Priests, and other men with power. The literate was dangerous, unless he was thoroughly in alignment with the existing powers of course. Which is why literacy was a task solely given to monks and our priesthood. In fact, it took enormous

strength to shed the most horrific parts of these constructs, and put these ideas on the scrapyard of history. However, as we finally managed to put this behind us, we saw beautiful positive consequences, such as the Enlightenment, medicine, science, etc. So in the end, we all benefited, even the Kings, Emperors, and priesthood arguably too.

So a "wizard" is basically nothing but a person who chooses his words carefully, throws his words into the world, in order to apply change to other people's perception, to achieve some sort of greater goal. The words of a wizard becomes his "proxy" or "Djinn" if you like. There are good wizards, and there are bad wizards. An example of the former would be William Shakespeare. An example of the latter would be Joseph Goebbels. They were both "wizards", carefully choosing their words, in order to change the hearts and minds of those willing to listen.

Defining Magic

With the above definition of "wizardry" and "spell casting", we now have the foundation required to understand "magic". If spell casting is the ability to change the world, using the word, and never applying the change directly yourself — Then Magic is the ability to change the world, using some other type of "proxy". In fact, "magic" is the "generalised principle" for "spell casting", if you wish to speak about it scientifically. It's basically the same thing, except "spell casting" is a specialisation of "magic". Spell casting changes our physical world, using "the word" as a proxy. Magic also changes our physical world, still without directly interfering with it, but rather by using some type of "proxy". Magic hence, is the ability to create a "proxy" that acts on your

behalf, in order to apply change. Hence, arguably, all software developers are also magicians, since their software applies change unto the world, without the developer necessary needing to be directly involved in the process. In such a way, software becomes the developer's "Djinn".

Resistance marks the target

If you have worked with some extreme type of innovation, such as for instance Nikola Tesla, not noticing the amount of resistance you are given becomes impossible. This is because all forms of extreme innovation carries with them the promise of disruption and change. Changing the status quo of course, implies that those having a vested interest in the current state of affairs, will lose something due to the change your innovation proposes. Nikola Tesla himself being the primary example of this, where Thomas Edison even murdered an elephant in public, in an attempt at trying to scare followers of Tesla away from the ideas of alternating current (AC/DC) as a solution to distribute electricity. Another and more recent example is how Tesla, the cars, and its entrepreneur, seems to be demonised in public on a daily basis today. It doesn't require a rocket scientist (pun!) to understand where this resistance is coming from, who is paying for it, and who are taking the money to paint things black. The paradox is that once you move beyond this resistance, you realise it can be beneficial to you, since it helps you identify your target - As in; No resistance, no innovation!

Hence, resistance towards your ideas, becomes to the entrepreneur, and the Magician, a shiny beacon of light, helping him or her to navigate the ideas of his mind, such that he can easily discard everything not generating resistance. In fact, we can even define the amount of innovation an idea have, using the equations of electricity, by measuring Ohm (electrical resistance) towards our ideas – And the higher the Ohm (resistance), the better the idea usually is.

This is because nobody are afraid of things not containing an element of disruption. While the existing powers always fears that which promises true change. And the existing power structures in whatever area you are innovating in, often have humongously large research departments, capable of easily measuring an idea towards status quo, and quantify its potential for change. And once they have identified some idea, that is beyond some threshold in regards to change, they will protect themselves, in order to keep the status quo, paying any price necessary to make sure things don't change - At which point they will facilitate for you as the Magician experiencing resistance, in an attempt to prevent you from changing the status quo. Hence, by intelligently observing and analysing the resistance your ideas as an entrepreneur generates, you can literally hire billion dollar research departments, to investigate if your idea is good or bad, and you can make them do your work for free.

The Black Swan and its Blue Ocean

Generating resistance every time you open your mouth becomes exhausting after a while though. So after a while, the (wise) entrepreneur starts looking for ways to innovate, without generating resistance. Instead of competing in a "red ocean", he or she realise they can create their own "blue ocean", where there are no sharks, and they can be the "black swan". The white swans cannot see the black swan, due to a lack of ability to recognise the black swan as one of their own. However, before you can become the Black Swan, you'll have to experience resistance, to make sure you have correctly identified your target, such that you know where to navigate, and what to create, and which ideas to simply discard. Basically, what Magic is most

powerful, and has the potential to create lasting positive change?

The same way existing power structures uses "the occult" (that which is hidden) to generate resistance, the wise Magician uses "that which is hidden" to avoid resistance, while still being capable of generating music in the ears of those having ears, and rainbows in the eyes of those having eyes.

However, this time he is invisible.

The point of balance in a Circle

In Zen, the most important shape is the circle. It illustrates the most important idea of Zen, which is that the point of balance in everything, is outside of itself, in the void at the centre of itself, where nothing exists. Arguably not a part of the circle itself, still the point of balance that sustains and upholds the circle. In Magic these same ideas can be utilised to your benefit, by realising that the most important parts to build and sustain a successful Magic Spell, is not in your Spell itself, but rather at the void of the centre your spell evolves around. The parts that are outside of your words, but still at the core of the effect you seek to accomplish.

One obvious interpretation of this, is how pleasing your readers is paramount, and the realisation of that the Magic Spell (circle) can only exist, as long as the reader (your circle) believes in the centre (spell), and is willing to have faith in its ability to deliver that which it has promised to deliver. Arguably, any entity can only exist, as long as it is given permission to exist, by that which exists at the core of its own beliefs, and it is able to justify its existence to the parts outside of itself. If it can't, it'll be perceived as a parasite, and the forces outside of it, will destroy it to protect itself. Obviously, the idea was that Zen Buddhism, although arguably empty at its core, needed to justify its existence, by having that outside of itself, be willing to uphold it, and sustain its existence. Which we can see in how the community around a successful Zen temple is willing to give food and other resources to a Zen temple, because the villagers themselves perceive the existence of the temple as

valuable to themselves. When this "contract" is broken, the temple will slowly perish and cease to exist.

Hence, do not ask yourself how to create a successful Magic Spell – That is impossible. Ask yourselves rather "how can I please those around me, whom I depend upon to sustain my Magic Spell's existence" – At which point a successful Magic Spell will become the natural byproduct, and arguably the inevitable consequence …

Jesus had one sin

I study everything, ranging from playing the saxophone, drums, guitar, and singing in one end – To painting with acrylics, software development, and religions at the other end of the scale – And do you want to know a secret? The Bible proves Jesus had <u>one sin</u>. You see at the last supper Jesus famously said "This is my blood, and this is my flesh". There only exists one single context where such a sentence makes sense, which is if he was pointing to Mary Magdalene's stomach as he said it. Hence, the "Son of God" had sex outside of marriage, producing an offspring, and he loved Mary Magdalene and his unborn child so much, he was willing to allow himself to be crucified for his wife and unborn child to save them from suffering.

This part of the Bible also clearly explains why Judas was so jealous at Jesus, since he too was (obviously) in love with Mary. But when Jesus said to his disciples "I have taken unto me the sins of the world", and announced his unborn child to them, Judas realised he could never have Mary – And in a rage Judas chose to snitch on his best friend. Which of course also explains why Jesus knew Judas would betray him, and why his betrayal using the famous "kiss" became such a big fuzz. Of course all the disciples knew about this secret, and Jesus explicitly said "You shall know me, everybody else shall live in darkness."

In fact, as Jesus had sex outside of marriage, he also repeated the sins of his mother, who had produced Jesus under similar circumstances 33 years earlier. Yup, sorry to spoil the party here, but the <u>Virgin Birth was a necessary lie to prevent the Pharisees from stoning Mary and her unborn</u>

<u>child</u> – Since having sex outside of marriage was punished by capital punishment in those days. For the record, this is not an *"interpretation"* of the Bible, this is what the Bible literally teaches us. You only need to read it with open eyes, and without preconceived notions of what it tells you to see this for yourselves!

Spirituality 101

I've got this cheap party trick I throw on Atheists. I ask them to put €50 on the table, and then I ask them if I can have the money. Obviously they refuse, telling me it's their money. My answer to them at that point is "But you told me you weren't superstitious, and that you only believed in what could be scientifically proven to be true, right?" Their answer is always "Yes!" At this point I tell them "Your €50 is actually nothing but coloured toilet paper, but you happen to share a superstitious belief with 99.8% of the rest of the world, that results in that their money gets value" - And if they look objectively upon the matters, they'll have to admit that I am right. Scientifically and objectively, their money is literally nothing but coloured toilet paper, and has no real value at all. Hence, I am not asking them to give me anything of value, I just need some "toilet paper", and if they were truly atheists, scientifically and sceptically minded, they would agree with me, and give me their money. The purpose of the exercise of course, is to prove that they are superstitious.

Spirituality means "inner life", nothing more, nothing less — And we all have it. It's the dreams we dream, the plans we create, the music we listen to, and the things we apply value to. The example with money, is there to illustrate how a person's "inner life" gives the money value. And if spirituality in regards to money can create such impact upon the world, then (obviously) all other forms of spirituality could do the same — This implies that spirituality purely from a scientific point of view, must create some sort of "value" to the world, and that spirituality creates the world we live in.

Anarchy 101

Most people will project qualities into words that simply don't belong there. For instance, when confronted with the word anarchy, most people will start imagining chaos, crime, and violence. The word anarchy is Greek though, and to most Greeks, it implies something completely different. First; it's not one word, but two. "an" means without, and "archy" means leadership. Anarchy combined hence becomes "without leaders." It doesn't imply anything related to chaos, murders, or crime – In fact, quite the contrary, since often the more strongly centralised control an organisation has, the more violence and crime the individual within the organisation is capable of committing on the "alter of the greater good." Examples here includes Jonestown, the Nazis, and ISIS. All of these entities were the exact opposites of anarchy, and had extreme amounts of "centralised control." Hence, if we study the word from a historical perspective, we have a lot of data implying that "the further humanity drifts away from anarchy, the more violence and crime there will be."

The reasons for this are pretty simple to understand, since once you have a strong leader, you no longer need to take responsibility for your own actions. The following was the defence most people used during the Nurnberg trials.

I simply followed orders

The above is the classic excuse that justifies murders, crimes against humanity, rape, and all sorts of extreme crimes. Order followers inflict suffering unto the rest of us.

Most people will label Nazis, ISIS, and Jonestown as "criminals that were breaking the law." – When quite the opposite is in fact true; The Nazis, Islamists and Jonestown fanatics were law abiding citizens, willing to go to extreme lengths to follow their law – They simply had "different laws" than the rest of us.

Studies shows that people who are truly anarchists, understands its core idea, and have consciously chosen to become anarchists – Are more tolerant, have greater amounts of empathy, and are in general "better neighbours" – Than those willing to follow the law, whatever the costs are. Hence, the more freedom from "centralised control" an eco system gets, the better societies we can build. This is empirically proven countless times through history.

If Anarchy is such a great thing then, why haven't we all chosen it?

Because in human nature, is the need to follow others. It is at the core of our human nature to follow others, since we are herd animals, and we need to feel as if we are part of something larger than ourselves. We need a feeling of belonging. Centralised control also gives us a feeling that we can do things we wouldn't normally do, because it destroys individual responsibility, and justifies all sorts of atrocities. Give people an inspired leader, and they'll awaken their inner beasts, and do things they couldn't even imagine themselves doing if they were responsible for their own actions.

When somebody else is responsible for our actions, the beast within us is unleashed

Really, it's literally that simple. People voluntarily submit their freedom, and free will, because it relieves them from having to face the consequences of their own actions, and some people simply takes pleasure in acting like animals. Anarchy is the **solution to violence**, crime, and injustice – And you can start out easily on this path yourself; **Simply avoid voting** – At which point you can say "Not my President" – Regardless of what psychopath is elected into office.

Because only a psychopath wants to control other humans

Fascism 101

During the Nazi occupation of Norway, my grandfather had to make an escape to Sweden with his family. The Nazis had discovered my grandfather had a radio receiver in his basement, and this was illegal during the war, since it allowed people to listen to BBC broadcastings, and retrieve factual information about how the war was proceeding at the end of the war. Therefor the Nazis made radio receivers illegal, because they wanted to control what information the population of Norway received. During the escape to Sweden, my grandfather was hunted by fighter airplanes, shooting at him, as he and his family was hiding under a rock in the hillsides between Sweden and Narvik - Which shows how determined the Nazis were to control information in societies they occupied. The first step on the ladder up towards fascism is always censorship! Yet again; "If the beginning was the Word, the Word was with God, the Word was God" - Leading to; "Him who controls the word, becomes a God"

It is impossible to create a fascist state without first applying "perfect censorship." This exact same recipe has been followed by people like Joseph Goebbels and Abu Bakr (the leader of ISIS). The reasons are that in order for fascism to exist, it needs a "perfect echo chamber", where all doubt, second thought, and alternative voices are first silenced. Otherwise the population of the fascist state will have doubts about their own actions, due to people with different opinions raising their concerns, and arguing against the herd mentality that fascism relies upon in order to succeed.

During the Soviet Revolution for instance, all the "idiots" ran for the Czar's Palace, while the entire "intellectual elite" ran towards the press, to control what the rest of the country could read and hear about the revolution, and such were able to make the rest of the population believe that the Czar had already fallen, and that they were already in control — And hence that there was no reasons to resist anymore, and everybody could rejoice in a more "just" society, with "equality for all", and "perfect socialism". It took 70 years, and millions of lives, before Russians again could talk about "freedom of press" with a straight face, at least to some extent.

If you control what people are told, you control their minds. If you control their minds, you control their actions

In such a way, arguably, all sin belongs to journalism as a profession.

The occult

I love words as you probably have realised. Especially words that contains huge amounts of emotions seems to trigger my curiosity. And especially if these emotions are not justified, and the common understanding of these words have been falsified by some people, for whatever reasons they have to falsify our understanding of these words. The "occult" is one of these words. Occult simply means "hidden", nothing more nothing less. If you and your friends have a secret only you know about, you are by the very definition of the term occultists. Since we all have secrets of some sort, we are arguably all occultists. Whether or not we are evil or not, depends upon what secrets we choose to hide. Something perfectly illustrated by the fact of that Jesus' disciples made sure the fact that Jesus had a child become an "occult thing".

500 years ago, studying the Bible, and coming to different conclusions than the Vatican, was considered a sin, and you risked being burned at the stake as a "witch" or "satan worshipper". Not accepting Jesus as your saviour, was enough to convict you to death. Therefor, studying "that which is hidden", became demonised, and associated with devil worship. Today we kind of laugh a little bit of the stupidity of this belief, but 500 years ago, to those whom were executed for being witches, this was no joke.

Therefor huge amounts of literature and texts were hidden from us, to avoid giving us "ideas", that could contradict the official explanation the church were for some reasons handing us as "the truth." The flat Earth belief was one of these truths, and Jesus' celibacy another one of these

"truths". Studying the shape of the Earth for instance, became illegal, and was labeled as "the study of the occult." It was enough to read a single page of Galileo's texts, to end up in hell in your afterlife. Hence, Galileo's texts were "hidden", or turned into "the occult." At the end of this period though, the shape of the Earth was such a commonly known thing, and the Papacy could no longer reject the facts. This resulted in that most (sane and intelligent) people lost all respect for this institution, due to its actions during the "dark ages." Arguably, the Vatican such committed suicide one might argue, by "dabbling with the occult", as in "creating secrets in plain sight." - Secrets that "everybody" knew were lies, and secrets that were evil, and prohibited progress - Contrary to their original secret, which was created to save Jesus, Mary and their unborn child's life. Everything seems to rot after a while is the only possible conclusion.

Ask yourself the following; What is hidden from you today? Some things probably should stay hidden, and is really not your business to reveal. For instance, whether or not your neighbour is a homosexual or not, is not your business, neither to know, nor to reveal if you already know.

Other "hidden things" are of more serious character though, and prevents us from developing ourselves, and creating better societies, with more harmonious citizens and relationships. One example would be if your neighbour ritualistically sacrifices children during full moon, to drink their blood, and sacrifice their souls to Belzebub. The latter example is probably something you should speak out about, if you happen to have knowledge about it. In between these two extremes is "everything else." Whether or not it

deserves daylight or not, is individual I assume – But some things simply should not stay "hidden." Most sane people today can agree upon that.

What are the "flat Earth subjects" in society today, and who are making sure they stay "hidden" ...?

The Invisible Man

The human psyche has a fascinating repertoire of self preservation tactics once confronted with extermination. Many of these tactics are also completely subconscious to the person who utilises them, and not something the individual consciously thinks about either — But rather his or hers instinctual parts of the brain "taking over" once confronted with life threatening situations. The primary example of this that everybody knows about, is how "instincts" seems to take over when you find yourself in a life threatening situation, such as meeting a driver in the wrong lane on the freeway, doing 100 miles per hour. However, there are much more subtle tactics to be found, down the same "alley", once you study the subject with an open mind.

In 2011 I was hitchhiking around California for 6 months. I was in my second divorce, and I was burned out from too much work, and I needed some "self realisation time for myself." In the middle of Market Street in San Francisco I saw a "lunatic." Some man, in his mid 20s, was standing in the middle of the road, and shouting "I am invisible!"

For some few seconds I stood at the other side of the street, and simply observed him, simply fascinated at "how crazy the human mind can become." As I crossed the road, it dawned upon me, and I walked towards the guy, not too close, since I realised he probably had some "teeth", and would probably "bite me" if I came too close – But I came just close enough to show him my respect, and I looked him in his eyes, and I gained contact with him. At that point I whispered, such that only he could hear what I said, and I

said "I can see you." Of course, I was the only one courageous enough to even look him into his eyes. The guy stared at me for some few seconds, smiled a little bit at me, before he shrugged his head, and continued shouting "I am invisible! I am invisible!"

To a "damaged man", pretending to be insane, is often a temporary "self preservation technique". This was what I had realised, since others with intentions of causing him harm, would simply "shy away from him", almost as if they would expect to be "infected with whatever he was suffering from." Obviously, this was a part of the man's self preservation mechanisms, which allowed him to live mostly in peace from others wanting to harm him. Experiencing harm was for the record probably his primary experiences with other humans over the years, and again had resulted in this "anti social behaviour" and his "insanity."

If the guy was attacked, for some reasons, the rest of the population around him would simply intervene, and say things such as "Leave him alone, can't you see that he is sick!" Hence, his psyche, had "self inflicted" insanity unto himself, in order to preserve his life.

Fact are, insanity doesn't exist, only cause and effect.

The Apocalypse

The apocalypse is the most feared event in human subconsciousness. Most people perceive it as a point in time in the future, when the world will cease to exist. However, it has nothing to do with the end of the world in fact – Quite the contrary in fact. Purely linguistically and psychologically, it implies a point in (future) time when your life can truly start, and you can start living for real, and probably truly be understood and loved, for what you are, and not for what you have pretended to be up to that point. To understand why, we'll have to look at what the word actually means, ignoring the lies surrounding it. The word "apocalypse" is Greek, and it means "revealing." As in "the things that have been hidden will become visible."

Sure, if you fear that the truth about you shall be revealed, the idea of revealing everything about you to the world at large probably sounds scary, and could probably be imagined to cause you harm. However, the religious ideas behind the apocalypse, is first of all that the truth about everyone will be revealed, at which point everybody will see everybody for what they truly are. This results in that no single individual will risk (much) shaming, since everybody are forced to confront their own wrongdoings. For obvious reasons, people with a "lot to hide", has done their best throughout history, to postpone this event as much as possible, fearing that it'll reveal them for what they are, to everybody else, such that they will be condemned in public. Of course, if you're sacrificing children to Belxebub, and drinking their blood, you probably have a lot to fear too. However, unless your previous actions are somewhere in the category of "ritualistically sacrifice children to Satan and drinking their blood under the full moon", you probably have nothing to fear from having everything about you revealed. In fact, you probably have everything to gain! Simply since the lies told about you to others, will also be revealed, and those who have told those lies, will have their motives for doing so exposed to the world at large too - Which always is somewhere in the category of "Hiding the facts that they were sacrificing children to Belzebub", or something.

For instance, if you read the Bible without preconceived notions, you'll have to accept that Jesus was a somewhat of a "slut". Hence, if you've been a "slut", you can simply point to the Bible and say "If you condemn me, you'll condemn Jesus, 'The Son of God' too. As you judge, you shall be judged." If somebody condemns you for having told a white lie, you can say "Joseph lied and said Mary was a virgin", etc. So if your "sins" are anywhere within the "normal range" of sins, there is little to fear from this revealing process.

Facts are, we all have something to hide, and most things we hide are of little or no interest to the public at large, and most people probably won't even notice it if somebody told the world everything about you — You're simply not that interesting, and what you're hiding is probably not that important — Unless your name is Hilary Clinton or Donald Trump or something, and what you're hiding are serious crimes, that would make the world realise you're nothing even close to what you have projected yourself to be in your public life. To most people, the apocalypse is a future event, having little or no impact on their personal lives. To some people though, those whom have spent their entire lives lying in public, and used these lies to create false personas,

to exploit the masses at large, the apocalypse is probably scary.

Hence, the fear of the apocalypse, arguably becomes this "revealing" – As in, those whom are afraid of this revealing, and those whom are lying about it, to make sure nobody accidentally sets it into motion, purely logically are the ones you probably should stay away from. So arguably, we don't even need the apocalypse to occur in order to "reveal" all those whom we should shy away from. We only need to have everybody to believe it will happen, at which point those with really dark and perverted things to hide, will "out" themselves automatically, by doing the best they can to prevent it from happening. To say it in plain English.

The Truth <u>might</u> set you free. But the fear of that the truth shall be revealed, will burn your inside

And there's nothing religious about that. It's got nothing to do with magic, superstition or "Biblical fairy tales". It's simply psychology 101. Ask yourself the following question: "Who are preventing you from telling the world what you know to be true?" The answer to that question, becomes the recipe for who you should stay away from. And "who are preventing your friends from telling the world what they know?" The answer to that question also becomes the ones whom are lying, deceitful individuals, whom you should not trust in general, because they have based their lives upon lies, and are doing everything they can to prevent the Truth from being revealed.

Live by the lie, die by the lie. Something the Papacy, and its ideas of celibacy perfectly illustrates. It's simply self inflicted Eugenics.

So the apocalypse purely logically, already at this point, **have already occurred**. Are you afraid of the past?

Did Jesus have a child with a prostitute?

So far we have already established using basic logic that Jesus had a child outside of marriage, probably with Mary Magdalene. This begs another question, which is as follows; "Was Mary Magdalene and Mary the prostitute the same girl?" For almost 2,000 years the Vatican believed so. Only in recent years, they have confessed that they did this to "slut shame" Mary Magdalene, and avoid making people respect her as a disciple of Jesus. Hence today, the Pope's "official explanation" is that Mary the prostitute and Mary Magdalene were two distinctly different women. Which obviously begs the question; "Why shouldn't a former prostitute be able to be a disciple of the Saviour?"

Paradoxically, by trying to escape their previous slut shaming process of Mary Magdalene, the Catholic church arguably accomplishes the exact opposite of what its self proclaimed intentions are. How do you think Jesus would feel realising the Papacy have burned women on the stakes for 2,000 years for infidelity?

Facts are, there are a lot of reasons to believe that the prostitute Jesus saved from being stoned, is the same girl as Mary Magdalene. And facts are, that there are a lot of reasons to believe that Mary Magdalene carried Jesus child during easter some 2,000 years ago. Notice, I want to emphasise that I still adore Jesus for what he did, I still respect Mary Magdalene for what she became, and I definitely love all children, including Jesus and Mary's child – The interesting spiritual question though is kind of; **Can you**?

The "Son of God" hence probably had a child, outside of marriage, with a former prostitute

If you're the average human being, I happen to know that you too are probably slut shaming former prostitutes. I happen to know for a fact, that most people, at least in Norway, and most parts of the world that I have seen so far, are not giving prostitutes the amount of respect they should be given. This is true for the Norwegian government, and it is true for "Severin Suveren." — It is probably true for most other citizens of the world too may I add.

However, think a little bit about it. If you believe in Jesus, and that he is the Son of God, then God chose a prostitute to carry forth his grandchild. If you believe in God, and you believe in the Bible as the truth, no amount of "interpretation" will ever wash away these truths. This implies that God loved a former prostitute so much, that he chose to have a prostitute become his grandchild's mother.

And since Jesus arguably is our judge on Judgment Day, and he chose a former prostitute to carry forth his child, it is purely logically to believe that he will also probably **not be too happy about you slut shaming any women out there** – Including former prostitutes. In many ways, the Bible is almost like a practical joke, leading us to the following conclusion.

Can you love a former prostitute? Can you love the child of a prostitute? Can you love Jesus realising he had a child outside of marriage, with a former prostitute? If you can answer yes to that question, God will probably love you.

Simply, because that is how important it is to love all "children of God", regardless of what they at some point in time were forced to do in order to survive, and regardless of their origins, and what their parents had to do at some point in order to survive.

The Bible is amazingly interesting when you read it without preconceived notions.

Edit: I want to emphasise that I have never had sex with a prostitute as far as I know, and I definitely have never paid for sex. However, I do feel sorry for the amount of shame prostitutes are forced to carry, and I don't think it is OK to believe that prostitutes are *"less valuable human beings"* because of their choice of profession.

Was Jesus batshit crazy?

The Bible is kind of like a practical joke. If you believe it, you'll have to accept that 2,000 years ago, lived a homeless man named Jesus, walking around preaching revolutionary ideas, without any possessions besides what he could carry in his hands.

Jesus also had a child outside of marriage, probably with a prostitute, and he was a spiritual and religiously inspired leader, in a society where sex outside of marriage was punished with capital punishment. He chased around preachers and other religious leaders inside of their own temple with a whip, and he told them they were sinners and that they should repent – Even though he had no more than at the most some 10-15 followers, all of whom were more or less non-violent, and wouldn't raise a hand to actually protect Jesus if he should ever need it.

Jesus also knew his best friend Judas would betray him, and encouraged it in fact, and made Judas report him to the authorities, realising he himself would be crucified.

Jesus was highly likely what we would today clinically categorise as "bat ship crazy".

However, during the next 2,000 years, others would worship him as God in the flesh, proclaim he was living in celibacy, that he was the product of Virgin Birth, and that he could walk on water and turn water into wine. Even though he himself consistently referred to himself as the "Son of Man", and refused to repeat the lies others were telling about him.

Still, for 2,000 years, billions of people worshipped him as God in the flesh, and even his enemies, even today, are arguably preaching his gospel, by spending large parts of their lives, trying to refute the lies surrounding Jesus, or by believing in some version of Jesus that never existed – And in doing so, arguably preaches the gospel of their "enemy". In addition, besides from a couple of days at Golgotha, he had a pretty cool life, partying with the coolest guys, as if there was no tomorrow, and he never feared anything. So, who's crazy now? Do me a favour please; The next time somebody tells you that you are crazy, answer them the following.

Yup, I am bat shit crazy, but not nearly as crazy as Jesus, but I try.

New Speak 101

New Speak is the ability to create new words to describe old things. Basically, the ability to package the same old shit in a brand new wrapping. Probably one of the most famously known innovators in regards to this is the guy responsible for George Bush junior's Presidential campaign. He realised that people would never accept the idea of "drilling for oil", so instead he advised the President to use the sentence "energy exploration".

The idea was that energy is an emotionally positive word, and so was exploration – While both drilling and oil were negative words. This allowed Bush to completely eliminate the whole environmental arguments during his campaign, and turn it into a "non issue", which was impossible to debate. Simply because nobody can complain against "energy exploration". To be against "energy exploration" would be the equivalent of stating "I kill kittens". This allowed Bush to control what subjects were being brought to the surface during his Presidential campaign, and have all the subjects he didn't want to surface become completely suppressed in the public debates.

Many people participate in creating New Speak words, often sometimes without realising it, and sometime scrupulously to accomplish some evil goals.

Ask yourself, what is the person really saying? What do you believe his goals are? And what is his real feelings about the subject? Simply put, because if Ted Bundy were to state that he intends to "help women in need", he probably doesn't imply what his words are telling you.

The higher moral ground

A human being taking the higher moral ground becomes invincible. We see this countless times throughout our common history. My favourite example of course is Jesus whom they couldn't kill regardless of how hard they tried. But there are thousands of others showing us the same thing. I once heard a Jewish Rabbi say "Him who doesn't know the flood of sins is happening today, all over the world, is amongst those drowning". Which is an analogy for looking at things I particularly enjoy, since it makes the "higher moral ground" become a rock you can climb unto, above the flood of sins, to save yourself from drowning.

So what is the "higher moral ground" then?

Well, for me it's <u>all about freedom will</u>. Having consciously chosen to be an anarchist, Free Will for me personally is my guiding light in the dark waters that constitutes the flood. I don't believe I have the right, or moral obligation either, to force my will unto others. Giving everybody else such freedoms, allows me to also <u>demand</u> the same thing back from the world. Having freedom of choice though, doesn't imply that I can do everything, quite the contrary. For me personally, it is kind of like a "test". Given the choice of using drugs or not using drugs, buying sex from prostitutes or being faithful to my wife, driving irresponsible in traffic or trying to be a responsible citizen – What would you choose?

I try to as much as possible choose whatever makes me feel that I am a responsible citizen, whom most others can count on. I don't always succeed may I add, sometimes I make mistakes myself, that I afterwards are not particularly proud of – But at least I try. Maybe it's my belief in divine justice that turned me out this way?

A lot of people might read my blogs and think that I'm an asshole. For instance, it's easy to perceive my beliefs around Jesus as blasphemy. However, I adore the bugger. I simply look at him through a human's eyes instead of trying to turn him into something nobody can reach, a being of perfection, that probably never existed in the first place any way. That makes him more human to me, and more easily reached for others, turning him into something tangible we all can strive to become like. Is that blasphemy?

For me, that is my higher moral ground. Maybe I'm wrong, it doesn't matter, at least I am honest about it. For me that is the truth, my higher moral ground that saves me from drowning. What's yours? And if you have none, that's also perfectly fine with me too, since in my book, the freedom of choice is the axiom I evolve around, that allows me to (safely) keep my higher moral grounds dry.

Free Will! One Each!

What it means for me to be an Anarchist

Norwegians all over the world are celebrating freedom from the Nazis in 1945 the 17th of May every year. Sure, our national day started earlier, but it was never really made popular before independence in 1945. The 17th of May has become a symbol for us of freedom from oppressors due to this. As I have previously written, anarchy means "without leader". Being an anarchist for me, is to acknowledge that everybody has the right to live without a "Fuhrer". This doesn't mean I have the right to break the law, quite the contrary. Breaking the law all the time, makes life very difficult, and hence would be "impractical".

However, it means that if I am given two choices, where one of those choices is to make somebody suffer by obeying the law, and the other choice is to break the law to prevent others from suffering, I would choose the latter. The Nazis are my favourite example of this, since the law in Norway from 1940 to 1945, was that you had a **legal duty** to snitch on jews, such that the Nazi leadership could send them to Auschwitz. Given the choice of snitching on a Jew, or breaking the law to save a Jew from the gas chambers, I like to believe that both myself, and most of my friends and readers would choose the same as I do, which would be to **break the law** ...

Do not follow your government, follow your Heart!

Hiding your emotions

We are taught to suppress our anger, publicly display our love, and openly talk about our problems. 50 years ago, this strategy might have had some logic behind it. However, in today's connected world with social media, implying everybody can read what you communicate — This strategy simply doesn't work. I would like to encourage you to do the exact opposite in fact — At least in public, or in social media to be explicit, if you choose to have a presence there. So let's hear the argument.

First of all, you cannot please everybody. Somebody will simply hate you regardless of what you do, think, or feel. It's just a fact. 50 years ago this wasn't much of a problem, since you'd simply avoid those who hated you. Today avoiding them is harder, because they're reading your wall, and as they do, they are jealous of you if you're having a blast, and they thrive in your despair. Hence, they'll often do whatever they can to destroy your happiness, and increase your feeling of despair. A drowning man will always drag down those above him, it's really that simple. And even blocking them in your profile rarely helps, because others will send them screenshots of what you are saying, for whatever reasons they have for doing such a thing.

Pretending you are miserable doesn't help much either, because it feeds the haters, and gives them a feeling of accomplishing what they want to do, which will make them increase their efforts in tearing you down. And you can forget about empathy. If another person has truly started hating you, no amount of suffering will ever make him stop hurting you. Hiding your misery and pretending to have a

perfect life, doesn't help much either, because this will only make them more jealous, and further inspire them to tear you down, such that they can achieve their perverted idea of "justice".

Nope, the only thing that helps, is to completely hide both your positive feelings, and your sadness in public, at least in social media. This will make the haters believe that you too are filled with hate, and have a miserable life, and such leave you alone, while the exact opposite is true in fact.

Then behind closed doors, with no cameras on, you can show who you really are, which is just a really nice and cozy little fella, wanting nothing but happiness for yourself and your friends, while helping those stretching out their hands towards you, requesting your help.

Jesus, an Anarchist

When you shave away all the obvious rubbish from the Bible and Jesus, such as virgin birth, resurrection, and divinity — You're left with a pretty awesome man. A man never willing to "pass the buck", with a fundamentalistic belief in good, in addition to an infectious faith. At the core, you find a man completely unwilling to bend down in front of governments and other men, regardless of what titles or names they held.

Politically, we refer to such people today as anarchists. In fact, most religious organisations have started out their existence in these directions. Few people know this, but even Mormonism in its early days were arguably a revolutionary movement, based upon the idea that we don't need governments. Early mormons had their own schools, monetary system, and everything we normally today associate with "society's responsibilities". And most of these movements, at least in their early days, have some sort of "flat hierarchy" at their core. Later of course, many of these organisations are infected by dangerous individuals, resulting in that they become cults, ruled by a single individual, and/or a small body of individuals, resulting in abuse of power. The pope himself being the primary example of this I presume.

However, if you ignore 2,000 years of atrocities in Jesus name, you ignore all the obvious lies surrounding Jesus, often told for altruistic reasons may I add, and you avoid the temptation of blaming Jesus for the sins of the crusaders and the conquistadors – You're left with a 100% pure anarchist, and an amazingly cool dude.

Faith removal

Almost 100 years ago, Stalin realised that if he could remove faith in God from people's hearts and minds, they would naturally "suck in" whatever belief he provided them with as an alternative. It's almost as if the human brain is hard wired to believe in some outer saviour. Hence, to make his citizens believe in the government as their God, he first had to remove faith in the spiritual God.

If you compare the differences between 3 of the largest empires in modern history; US, USSR and the Third Reich, anybody with an adequately equipped brain, will easily notice how the government's power equals the exact opposite of the people's faith in the spiritual God. Both the USSR and the Third Reich had little or no room for God, and their government's powers spiralled completely out of control. While in the USA, God has a relatively strong presence, and hence the US Government is as a consequence much smaller, and has less control over the individual's life. In Cyprus, where I live, faith in God is huge, and as a consequence the government is literally non-existent.

Hence, if we are ever to be able to successfully apply Anarchy as a "political system" in the world, we are purely logically completely dependent upon also implementing **absolute belief in God**, some way. Exactly what God people believe in, is less important, as long as they have an absolute belief in some outer entity, larger than themselves. Simply because this belief makes the individual resilient towards abuse from evil governments, and mind control applied by their propaganda machinery.

Hence, if you're a true Anarchist, you're arguably required to also allow for all faiths to exists, and not only exist, but also encourage them, and help them grow, any ways you can – Assuming they're not based upon "killing all infidels" of course. Which would be completely incompatible with the Anarchist idea. So I say to thee; "Go out in the world, and preach the Gospel of God, whatever Gospel you wish" – Since it's the only way to ensure your government grows smaller.

The Biblical proof of that your Government is Satan

As an Anarchist, you will need an argument for every possible scenario. Preferably your arguments should also be constructed such that they resonate with the hearts and minds of those you speak with, and also be of "broad character". An argument resonating with the existing belief systems of 4.2 billion people, are for obvious reasons a better argument than an argument that only 5 people can resonate with. Hence, studying religions and faiths, to construct resonating arguments related to God, and religious scriptures, becomes paramount in your preaching of Anarchy.

In my blogs here, I will hence help you, by giving you arguments that are as broad as possible, allowing you to create neuro linguistic rapport, with as many others as possible. Today I will use the Bible to prove that your government is Satan.

Do me a favour, go ask your neighbour the following; "Who are in control of this country?" – Chances are that he'll answer "My government". Then realise that the Bible explicitly says that "Satan controls the Earth". In fact, Satan according to the Gospels, promised Jesus the entire world, if he would just fall down in front of him, only **once**.

How could Satan give Jesus such a promise, if he wasn't in control over the world and its governments? According to the Bible, the prime minister of Norway, possibly the most "gullible government on the planet", is literally a demon from hell, placed there by the Devil, to rule that part of the Devil's

possessions, in the name of Satan. These are not my words, they're words from the Bible for the record. I am simply reciting them as they are.

Almost all religions contains similar mental "hacks", arguably proving that "the one in control of everything is Satan". As the recipient of your argument logically reasons around it, and accepts it, he or she will slowly stop believing in the government, assuming the argument creates cognitive resonants with his or her existing belief system. And in order to successfully implement Anarchy, you will need to break down the belief in your government, amongst all those whom for some silly reasons happens to believe in their governments, such that they can stop believing in it.

Anarchy is not the belief in a "better government", it is the belief in complete lack of government. And the smaller the government is, the better it is.

The best government, is the one that doesn't exist.

The paradox of freedom

Freedom is weird from a philosophical point of view. At its core, is the idea that any individual is free to submit their freedom, and voluntarily give it away, to anyone they please.

In a way, it's similar to free speech, where you have the right to say more or less anything you want to, but you do not have the right to demand that others listens to what you have to say. This implies that you among other things can submit your freedom, by voting, and believing in that "if we can only get the next candidate into power, everything will be better".

However, you don't have the right to have your president make decisions over other people's lives, nor do you have the right to prevent others from speaking their mind, to those who wants to listen. If you don't like what others say, then don't listen. Nobody are forcing you to listen to what they say. And if one of your neighbours didn't vote for the guy currently in power, he holds no right to exercise his powers over them.

In the end, this implies that **anarchy is a personal thing**. Forcing or coercing others to believe in it, is repeating the mistakes of those fighting against it, those desperately voting for the next guy, believing everything will be better if they can only submit their own freedoms to some other guy.

If you don't want to listen to what I have to say, you are free to stop listening. And if you do not want to live in anarchy, you have the right to submit your freedom to anybody you want to submit it to – But you do not have the right to stop

me from speaking my mind, and you do not have the right to have your government and your politicians decide over me, unless I chose to submit my freedom to the same candidate that you voted for.

Free Will implies you're allowed to give yours away, but you're not allowed to give away mine.

How many votes would you need to become my President?

If you made 10 billion people vote for you as the President, but you did not manage to make me vote for you, you would not be my president. Denying this, is accepting slavery. Let me elaborate with some history lessons. Hitler had the 47% of the votes during the last elections before he was made dictator and abandoned elections – But polls taken a couple of years later, showed he probably had more than 50% of the German population behind him at the peak of his popularity. This implies that slavery, and the Holocaust, was democratically installed in Germany. Hence, the belief in that the democratic majority has the right to rule the democratic minority, becomes the acceptance of slavery and the Holocaust. Democracy hence is the acceptance of slavery and genocide by the very definition of the term.

The answer to the question in the header of the blog is actually **ONE VOTE**! In fact, you only need one vote to become my president, but that needs to be a very special vote. In fact it's the only vote that can possibly make you my president too, and that is my vote. But simply because you are my President, does not imply you are everybody else's President. And regardless of how many people voted you into office, you can never become the president of those that didn't vote you into office.

The logical result of this, becomes that the only way you can safely ensure that nobody is given the moral right and justification for making you a slave, is by never giving that vote, regardless of who asks for it.

Democracy justifies slavery, genocide, and war crimes

Democracy is the belief in that the majority has the right to rule the minority. If the majority wants a law saying that all kittens should be killed, this would become the law. If you find my example absurd, realise that such things have previously happened. Adolf Hitler was democratically elected, and at the peak of his popularity, he held 47% of the votes in Germany. Later he had more than 50% of the votes, which we know due to research conducted in this area – But at that time he had successfully managed to abolish elections, and turned himself into "Der Fuhrer". However, Hitler was democratically elected, through democratic means, using the majority vote as a justification for the Holocaust – And nothing can ever hide that fact.

If you find Hitler to be an extreme example, please realise that there exists much more contemporary examples of the exact same thing. This is not some "distant past problem", this is a contemporary problem, we still have today.

Divine Law

Facts are, we do not need laws or governments, because there exists "divine laws", that everybody can easily agree upon – Assuming they're not caught up in the temporary psychotic belief in the majority rule, which arguably is the equivalent of lynching. And this divine law is as follows.

Do not push your will unto others

If you appeal to an individual's higher self, and explain the above sentence, then most will agree with that such a law is good, and also enough for us to coexist with each others, and create better societies. It's basically the golden rule from the Bible more or less, and it is impossible to argue against. The above law implies that anyone can voluntarily submit their freedom, to anyone they wish, but they cannot submit other people's freedoms, regardless of how many votes their candidate gets. Submitting another person's freedom is **stealing his future**.

Anyone are free to give away their possessions to anyone they wish. The accumulating of possessions, is the gathering of wealth, and most agree upon that gathering things for the future is a good thing – Hence, most of us do it one way or another. Anyone can voluntarily give away that which they own themselves, but nobody can give away that which other people owns. Giving away that which other owns without consent, is **stealing the persons past**.

Anyone are free to kill themselves if they wish, but nobody are free to kill another man or woman, unless consent has been given of course. Killing another person, **is stealing his life**. Every time you are forcing your will unto somebody else, you are stealing something from that person, and you are justifying genocide and slavery.

Paying tax is (probably) illegal and immoral

Following the above argument to its conclusion, implies that you are free to pay tax if you wish, but you are not free to coerce others into paying tax, unless they want to pay tax. Because doing such a thing is theft. However, paying tax to a government who are conducting war crimes, is also the

equivalent of participating yourself in these war crimes, since it creates justification for said war crimes, and it allows your government to accumulate the resources it needs to continue these war crimes. Hence, paying tax to a government that has a military presence in another country, where that other country has not asked for military aid, is by itself a crime.

In 2011 the Norwegian government murdered 250,000 innocent women and children in Libya. For the record, at that time, I had long since stopped paying tax to Norway, but that's another story. Everybody who voted for Jens Stoltenberg, did not raise their concerns about his actions, and also paid tax to the Norwegian Government during this period, are hence guilty of war crimes and genocide. After the Libyan war of course, we know that Stoltenberg only murdered these innocent people to get the job as the Director of NATO. Research into the events that led to this war has clearly proven this to be a fact.

This purely logically leads us to that paying tax to the Norwegian Government is illegal, according to any measure, of any law, we have collectively created for the last 50 years or so. Paying tax to Norway, is making yourself guilty of war crimes and genocide. If Stoltenberg lived during the 1940s, he would probably have had to face the Nurnberg trials, sitting next to Herman Goering and other mass murderers. Fortunately for Stoltenberg, he lived in an era where access to truth was almost non-existent, and he was friends with the largest war criminals on the planet, the American government of course that is. So instead of being charged in the Nurnberg trials, he was rewarded with the position as the director of NATO. Kind of the same way

Heinrich Himler was rewarded with the position as the leader of the SS due to his crimes against Jews.

What can you do?

The above history lesson is difficult for most to accept, and it's easy to simply give up, realising the "most gullible nation on the planet is a war criminal". However, you do not have to participate in these war crimes yourself. First of all, never join the military in a country that has a military presence in another country. Secondly, do not pay tax to a country that has a military presence in another country. Nobody has the right to coerce you into becoming a war criminal - And by paying tax to a country such as Norway, you are basically becoming guilty of genocide and war crimes.

For the record, Jens Stoltenberg is merely an example here. There are war criminals in almost every nation on the planet, minus some few exceptions such as Cyprus – Which is why I have chosen to live in Cyprus, since as a citizen of Cyprus, I don't have to pay tax to a country with a military presence in any other nation but themselves. If you live in Britain, US, France, Norway, Italy, or literally any other nation in Europe, and you are paying tax to your government, you are a war criminal, and you should stop participating in genocide, by simply avoid paying tax to your government.

Your government cannot coerce you or force you into paying tax, to such justify slavery, genocide, and war crimes

Nobody can force you into pulling the trigger on your next of kind, and by paying tax to your government, you are

(probably) pulling the trigger on your neighbour, making yourself guilty of murder in the process.

Norwegian Eugenics

If you find the above example absurd, realise that the Norwegian government also forcibly sterilised Sami people until the 1980s. This was conducted by the same government that Jens Stoltenberg belonged to. The argument was the same arguments Hitler used to gas the Jews, which was that "Sami people were genetically inferior, and hence had no right to exist". Paying tax to Norway, makes you guilty of genocide, war crimes, and slavery.

Could you risk prosecution for your war crimes?

Unfortunately, most people don't think about the consequences of their actions, before they realise they might risk being punished. Heinrich Himler for instance, tried his best to become friends with the allies at the end of the war, without succeeding may I add. Hence, a natural question therefor becomes; Could you risk being punished for your crimes? Crimes here of course refers to paying tax to a European nation, such as Norway for instance. Well, let's study the argument, and try to extrapolate current events into the future, to calculate the risk of that you might end up having to face your own "Nurnberg trials".

Today immigrants are pouring into Europe as a flood wave. Most of these immigrants are having some 3-5 times as many children as the average Norwegian. In Sweden, this implies that within the year of 2025, there will be a Muslim majority of voters, probably resulting in a Muslim government I assume. Most of these immigrants, comes from countries that Europe and its citizens have literally bombed the shit out of. Completely ignoring whether or not this is a good thing, but simply trying to predict the future, a plausible future is that as these third world citizens grasps power over Norway, and other European nations, using Democracy as their means – They will probably seek justice for previous events, such as Tripoli, Baghdad, Afghanistan, and other cities and countries your tax money has bombed the shit out of. A justice well deserved too may I add.

In Sweden the democratic majority will be held by people coming from countries Europe and NATO has bombed to pieces in 2025. In Norway, France, Britain etc, some 10-20

years later. I stopped paying tax to the Norwegian government in 2009, as I realised what a bunch of criminals my government were, and I never paid as much as a single dime to the government of Norway after that. I also spent every single opportunity I had to inform the population of Norway what a bunch of mafiosos and war criminals the government of Norway was. Hence, arguably, I have no sin in these regards, and I will also not speak up on your behalf as the war trials begins, simply because ignorance is also a fundamental human right in my book. Besides, why should I speak out on behalf of those who did not speak out on my behalf?

When I went to prison, arguably for nothing, none of you raised your voices. Do you really expect me to raise mine, as you're being punished by "insanity justice"?

If you are the average Norwegian citizen though, chances are you have not done what I have done – And as the democratic majority becomes Islamic, it is highly likely that the democratic majority of Norway will do its best to apply justice to all those who participated in the genocides in Tripoli, Baghdad, Afghanistan, etc. This implies that every single tax payer in Norway, arguably risks being trialed and prosecuted for war crimes against the people from the Middle East, which of course according to Islamic laws, implies capital punishment. Of course, as for me, being an outspoken Anarchist, capital punishment is not something I particularly much enjoy, or want to preach in any ways -However, my voice in these regards will be relatively "mute", as 51% of the Norwegian population heads to the streets, shouting "Justice, Justice, Justice!" - Looking for some bugger to decapitate, simply for having been on the "wrong

side of history", and paid tax to a terrorist organisation -Norway of course that is.

So yes, there is a very high risk of that you purely statistically risk being punished, not even for participating, but arguably in fact only by choosing to closing your eyes to the crimes done in your name, by your government, towards your brothers and sisters, in third world countries all over the world, subsidised by your tax money.

Simply by having paid tax to Norway, makes you risk capital punishment in the near future

Because your tax money paid for the bombs that turned Tripoli into dust. But none of the money that paid for the bombing of Tripoli came from pockets.

How fascist Europe lost World War III

The observant reader might be a bit surprised by the header of this article. First of all, you have probably only heard about 2 world wars – Hence, the idea of that World War III has been fought, and is soon is to be lost, might puzzle you.

However, realise that few Germans had any idea about any "world war" before the end of 1944. Most Germans were partying and celebrating their freedoms until the late 1944, and had no ideas of the atrocities, the German army, and the SS were performing in their name. This was of course only made possible due to the propaganda machinery Nazi Germany had created under Joseph Goebbels, which prohibited any "unwanted information" to reach the masses.

To most Germans, Hitler was simply a "liberator of the Russian people". It was not before the Red Army marched into Germany and Berlin, pillaged, looted, and raped everything that moved, and demolished everything that was tied to the ground – That Germans in general got the feeling of that they were in a "war of some sort". Before this most Germans had no idea they were fighting a world war. This of course, was by design, and only made possible through controlling what the people could read and hear – AKA; **Propaganda**!

Do me a favour please; Open up the 5 most popular news media outlets in whatever European country you live in, and compare the news articles on the front page of these media outlets. I am 100% positively certain about that you will notice how they write exclusively about the same things.

This is because of that the narrative created and distributed to the media, is explicitly created to prevent "unwanted information" to reach you. And with "unwanted information", I am implying such facts, such that the USA and her allies; Europe and NATO, has murder roughly 25 million people since the 1950s. For the record, this is more than twice as many people Hitler gassed in the gas chambers. This narrative is architected and created by your government's propaganda ministry, which of course is "not supposed to exist" – But you can clearly see it for yourself, by simply comparing what news your 5 most popular news media outlets are writing about, at any given day, in any given European country or US state. So Europe and the US are clearly fascist states, built upon the ideas of "manufacturing consent".

The second surprise in the header of this chapter, might be "3rd world war? I thought there was only 2?" Well, let me enlighten you; 3rd world war was started already back in the 1950s, when the European and the "American Industrial" War Complex" realised they could but butfuck the Middle East, and Latin America, steal all their oil, and other natural resources, to increase greedy individual's personal bank accounts. And by combining this with the corruption of the media, they could do it in complete darkness, without the population of Europe or the US even having the slightest idea of that this was even occurring. And every time some media outlet wrote about these wars, it was always under the pretext of that "Europe and the United States are liberating those poor souls, and helping them create democracy". The exact same arguments Hitler and Goebbels used during world war II to justify the murdering of millions of Russians.

The third surprise is as follows; "Over? Is it over? And did we loose?"

Yup, sorry. And **Europe lost**, together with the United States! At the core of this architecture, is the "consent of the majority", or that the majority rules over the minority – AKA; **Democracy**! This war machinery is only made possible as long as the majority vote creates consent among the majority to continue it. However, all over Europe, we are seeing the end of this consent, since more and more European countries are rapidly reaching the point were "the majority", are people who have fled from these wars, to seek refugee in the only place they know they can find refugee; **Europe**! And as more than 50% of the European vote are no longer ethnic Europeans, but rather previous citizens of Libya, Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq, etc – It arguably becomes impossible to sustain this war machinery, at least in its present state, and "weird things will probably happen".

Now exactly what will happen after Europe loose the war, is difficult to predict, but Einstein said the following about it.

I don't know how World War III will be fought, but World War IV will be fought with sticks and stones ...

And arguably, there is some sort of distorted form of justice in that observation.

To illustrate the dilemma, imagine some fundamentalistic Muslim dude, being elected into Presidency of Great Britain or France, using Democratic means – Resulting in that the bugger literally ends up with the finger on the trigger of the

intercontinental nuclear ballistic arsenal of these nations. Then imagine the dude grew up in Baghdad in the mid 1990s – And you might "get the idea".

If you want to see who actually participated in the war crimes in the Middle East in the near past, just look for the ones who are now desperately shouting "Racists" at everybody else in their countries - Since these are the people who are now desperately looking for scape goats, to hide their own war crimes - Kind of like Heinrich Himmler tried at the end of Work War II. This will of course will lead you to Jens Stoltenberg and his minions.

Blaming another man for your own sins, is impossible.

Who owns the Court Systems in Europe?

A friend of me studied how judges are being chosen in Norway. What he found was that a lot of judges are being security cleared by the Norwegian military. This is actually against the Norwegian constitution, and in many ways arguably makes Norway a military dictatorship, since it allows the Norwegian military and Norwegian security police to simply avoid giving a judge his necessary security clearance, and such prevent him from becoming a judge. Hence, if you do not follow the agenda of the Norwegian military and security police for any reasons, you can never become a judge in a Norwegian court.

My lawyer once told me that the police have a similar technique, where they will choose only to prosecute their trials when they know some specific judge is at work, and able to take on some case in his court. The police of course are keeping track of each judge's verdict, for obvious reasons, and by choosing when to prosecute a case in the Norwegian court system, they can arguably facilitate for whatever result they need, on an individual case basis. This concept is often referred to as "wag the dog", which implies that the "tail of the dog is wagging the dog". In fact, there is a brilliant Hollywood movie from the late 90s with Robert DeNiro and Dustin Hoffman by that name, which you should see when you have the time. Sometimes reality imitate art I guess.

The 8th of June, Svein Ludvigsen is being prosecuted for sexual abuse in a whole range of sexual misconduct cases. Svein was one of the most prominent politicians in Norway during the height of his career, and was among other things

the Minister of Fish in Norway. The verdict, or lack thereof given to Ludvigsen, will arguably become the litmus test of exactly how corrupt the Norwegian court system actually is. The case has already been labeled as the "biggest scandal in Norway's contemporary history". However, if the trial ends without a verdict, that will probably be an even larger scandal. Even the New York Times and the The Guardian has written about the case against Ludvigsen, which shows the magnitude of the case. If you are on the "inside", you generally don't risk being punished for your crimes. If you're not on the inside, you'll probably be punished for all sorts of weird and largely fabricated crimes. Something I know very well myself, having spent 6 months in prison for things I never did.

At the core of the justice system's existence, is the idea of that the law is blind. What this means, is that the law should be equal for all of us, and not judge its citizens according to fame, possessions, or titles. Both the rich finance tycoon, the famous politician, and "John Doe" are supposed to be "equal in front of the law". Without this belief among the general population, the court system cannot exist.

Something nobody believes in, cannot exist. Today my friend who wrote an inspired letter about the problem of security clearing judges sits in jail, arguably for 95% fabricated things. If Ludvigsen is let off the hook, I will not need to further argue – Simply since if Ludvigsen walks, the people will see for themselves that the court system is a hoax.

I probably shouldn't say this out loud, but I sometimes wish they would let Ludvigsen walk for the above reasons – But that's probably too much to ask for, since when you're taken with your pants down at a brothel, for attempted rape of one of our weaker citizens, the people will simply demand justice. And there in lies our hope, your demands to your government. Because you have much more power than what you realise. You can put forth demands to your elected politicians, police, and judges – And they will be simply forced to listen to you, since the alternative is the complete collapse of everything they hold dearly.

Because even though the tail sometimes wags the dog, the tail still fear the dog, because in the end, the dog is the tail's master, and not the other way around.

It took me 6-9 months of time in prison, I had to leave my home country, and I am a "person non grata", but I nailed him. And nobody can ever take that away from me. Now it's your time to put forth your demands, and as you do, you will notice that they are a little bit weaker, a little bit more easy to argue with, and a little bit more reasonable – Simply put, because me and my friends, gave them a jolly good "massage".

The war is won, one small victory at the time

Chaos Magic 101

I am a practitioner of Magic. Exactly what that implies, you'll have to simply wait to understand, since I intend to play a Magic trick on you – However, amongst my Magic tricks, is the revealing of other people's Magic, for reasons you will understand as we proceed in this series of blog posts related to Magic. Hence, I will write a series of articles related to Magic, where I teach you (most of) the Magic tricks I have learned over the years. But first things first. Magic is neither good nor bad, it is simply a tool, like everything else in this world. A car can be used as a murder weapon, and it can be used as an ambulance – So too with Magic. However, due to the powers it (Magic) yields to those who are practicing it, it has been conspicuously hidden and made unavailable to the masses, both by making it unavailable for the masses to read about, and by making the population at large fear it, by playing upon their superstition and fear of the unknown - Which arguably by itself is the greatest Magic trick ever created. This has allowed some few people to keep "all the Magic for themselves", and such consolidated all power unto a few evil hands. Some types of Magic are "evil", or "black" arguably – While other types of Magic are "white" or "good". This article is about the darkest type of Magic that exists; Chaos Magic!

Chaos Magic is the most evil category of Magic that exists, and I wouldn't touch it even if you pulled a gun to my head. It is arguably the foundation for Free Masonry, which you can see in their slogan that says "Order through Chaos". What this means, is that if you apply chaos to the world, people will "seek out order in fear of the chaos they

experience in their lives". When experiencing chaos in their world, the masses will request aid from strong individuals, and strong leaders, and such submit their freedoms, in return for a false feeling of security. The primary example of this of course is the burning of the Reichstag done by Adolf Hitler and his henchmen in the 1930s, which is a well documented "false flag" operation – But there exists many more similar historical events, such as the origins of the Vietnam War in the Gulf of Tonkin.

When you allow for chaos to occur, this will frighten people in whatever country you live in – And as they are frightened, they will seek out a strong leader, and/or organisation, allowing them to experience a (false) feeling of security. Most governments performs this type of Magic, and it is more commonly referred to as "false flag operations", since they are based upon creating some sort of terrible event, under a "false flag". For instance, when Hitler wanted to invade Poland, he created such a false flag operation, where he terrorised a German city, and made people believe that the Polish army was to blame. This created consent for invading Poland, due to that the German people wanting revenge.

Chaos magic is to apply chaos, to have people's hearts and minds gravitate towards a dictator and tyrant

However, Chaos Magic's weak point, is that it always requires sacrificing one or more your friends, since you cannot have a false flag operation, without a victim, that ideologically belongs to "your side" of the conflict. This implies that it also weakens the internal order within the group practicing this type of Magic, since it scares the living

crap of those who knows about the true nature of what is happening, tempting them to "switch sides" in fear of being sacrificed themselves.

When you know what you are looking at, it is no longer unknown, and you have no reasons to fear it

Chaos Magic has historically been falsely associated with Anarchy, by playing upon people's lack of knowledge and superstition, to make people fear Anarchy. However, the truth is, that **Chaos Magic is the primary tool to prevent Anarchy**, since it allows your leaders to make the herd gravitate towards a tyrant, and "demand order". Whenever you see chaos occur in the world, ask yourself the following question; **Who benefits**?

That's all you need to know to combat Chaos Magic, since it allows you to see the "evil wizard behind the curtain", who is actually to blame for the chaos facilitated for – Instead of being forced to believe the lies of those practicing it.

Trolling 101

This is the second article in a series of articles where my intentions are to teach you Magic, all sorts of Magic, including black Magic. In this article I will talk about a special Norwegian type of Magic called "Trolling". Contrary to its rumour, trolling is not an evil type of Magic, and it has nothing to do with bullying – In fact quite the opposite, since it often helps prevent bullying. Trolling is the art of pretending to be something you are not, in order to make those whom you are communicating with, expose themselves for what they truly are, and in fact it is often "pure white" in its essence, since it allows for others to "see that which is hidden". Hence, if you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to fear from Trolling.

Norwegian folklore are full of examples of Trolling, such as the story of Askeladden who tricked the Troll to believe he could squeeze a stone, by squeezing a piece of brown cheese, and telling the Troll that he was breaking a rock with his bare hands. The Troll became curious about this, and Askeladden challenged the Troll to show him that he could do the same – So the Troll left his cave, and in that exact moment, the sun came over the horizon, and the Troll turned to stone.

Trolling is an extremely powerful type of Magic, since it tricks the Trolls to expose themselves for what they truly are, and let the world see them as they actually are, without their masks that hides them from the world. Hence, Trolling is not usually performed by the Trolls themselves, but rather by those wanting to expose the Trolls, and hence such

becomes illuminating White Magic, since it sheds light into the dark corners of the world.

With the rise of the internet, Trolling became immensely popular, due to how easy it became to pretend that you were something you actually weren't – And hence millions of Trolls fell victims of this Magic, and exposed themselves, resulting in that they no longer could hide their vicious intentions and actions.

Sex Magic 101

Sex Magic is the female counterpart to Chaos Magic, and pure dark in essence. Where Chaos Magic is for the most parts done by men, Sex Magic is mostly done by women. It is defined as the conspicuously exploitation of ones sexual attributes, coupled with mens natural instinct to want sex.

The idea is to manipulate men to do ones dirty deeds, by tricking them into believing that if they do, they'll have sex. Just like Chaos Magic, Sex Magic is extremely unpredictable, and always backfires to the practitioner in the end, making him (or mostly her to be honest) suffer some extreme backlash after a while.

Some societies have gone to extreme lengths to prevent this type of Magic, due to its extremely volatile nature, such as Islam that have made the Hijab a mandatory piece of clothing to prevent the effects of Sex Magic. However, putting your women inside of tents to prevent the effects of Sex Magic, is arguably the equivalent of chopping off your head to avoid having to shave. Being surrounded by beautiful women is not a sin. Putting on (small amounts) of makeup, and brushing your long beautiful hair to look pretty, is neither a sin. However, when a beautiful woman is exploiting her sexual attributes, and your instinct to breed, to have you murder her ex boyfriend – Then we are talking about a major sin.

Wars have been started because of "smaller innocent incidents" of Sex Magic, and empires have collapsed to dust because of it – Just like wars have started and empires collapsed because of practitioners of Chaos Magic.

However, the practitioner of Sex Magic will rarely if ever allow you to breed (have sex with her) — Because the Magic is only effective until sex have occurred, due to that it is based upon the tension that exists in between the woman and her male victim. Once you realise this, you also understand that when you are confronted with Sex Magic, you will basically never, or at least extremely rarely, be able to actually have sex with the woman trying to seduce you to do her dirty deeds. Once you know this, there are no reasons for you to be tricked into performing her dirty deeds either, realising the more dirty deeds you do for her, the less sex you'll end up having in the end.

Charm Magic 101

Charm Magic is similar to Sex Magic, but due to its much weaker effect, not that harmful in general terms. It is being used by most people on the planet, arguably every single day, since it implies sharing a smile, and some curtesy phrases, such as "Good Morning" and "Good Afternoon". It creates a positive environment, and takes the "edge" off from situations, that could otherwise be unpleasant. Of course, also this type of Magic is sometimes misused, but due to its weak effect, this abuse rarely have any practical consequences. An example of such abuse of Charm Magic, was the trial against Ted Bundy, where Mr. Bundy tried his best to charm the judge and the jury, to release him, and make them believe he was innocent. His only result, was arguably that the judge apologised for having to sentence him to capital punishment – So obviously it didn't work very well for Mr. Bundy, even though Mr. Bundy was a master practitioner of Charms. Charm Magic is also the Magic Svein Ludvigsen will highly likely try, in an attempt to go free from having tried to rape and rob a prostitute in Norway around the year of 2,000.

However, utilised by a scrupulous individual, it can very effectively be misused to "hide" and go under the radar, to allow the individual to perform extreme atrocities, without raising suspicions towards himself at all. When such people are exposed, the reaction of the society around them are often "I am shocked! I can't believe he did that! He was always such a nice and polite guy!" — Well, most monsters are very good at hiding in plain sight, and all those whom are hiding, are effectively using some version of Charm Magic to hide themselves. This removes all suspicion from

them, and also often allows them to put forth false allegations towards others, because of the credibility that the Charm spell creates for the successful practitioner – But it is not exclusively bad, and can also be utilised to simply create a more comfortable environment, with less friction, and less hostility.

Except when used by a sociopath to hide his true nature, it is for the most parts innocent, and often successfully applied by the "elders in your societies", to smoothen over conflicts, to reduce friction and hostility. It also goes by a different and more commonly known name, which is simply "wisdom" – When applied by a person with good intentions.

Mirror Magic

This is the by far most elusive and powerful types of Magic that exists. Successfully applied, it yields literally infinite powers to its practitioner, and gives him "God like" powers. It is pure White in essence, and in many ways the cure for all other forms of Magic. Among other things, it gives its practitioner the ability to reflect all other sorts of Magic back to sender, and renders him or her virtually immune to black Magic. It gives you the ability to completely manipulate your surrounding's perception of you, in addition to allowing others see themselves for what they truly are — Which often scares the living crap out of them may I add.

Its path is extremely difficult to explain, and I suspect there is no single path to it, but rather a realisation of that you deserve its powers — Coupled with unimaginable personal sacrifice, which is probably why so few ever experience it, and are able to achieve it. It's not as much a single type of Magic, as it is the understanding of the entirety of the Magic "catalogue", allowing the practitioner to reflect all forms of black Magic, and return it back to its sender. It requires intense amount of works, mostly inwards, to perceive yourself as you truly are. But when successfully reached, allows you to completely change your surrounding's perspective of you, giving you the ability to glide into any environment, completely untouched by hostile emotions, and shielded from all evil.

A practitioner of Mirror Magic will rarely be looked upon the same way, by two different human beings. Some will say he is "a", others will say he is "b", where "a" and "b" are often fundamentally different and incompatible things. It is one of

very few forms of Magic that can probably never be taught, but rather is achieved through an epiphany, often originating from extreme personal trauma, coupled with self sacrifice, and intense amount of work. It also starts out with the realisation of that your actions might have enormous consequences, leading you to second thoughts, resulting in you becoming a "better human being".

Some famous practitioners of Mirror Magic were Jesus, Siddhartha Gautama, Laozi, etc – Which also illustrates the powers it gives the practitioner when successfully applied. It is always however the result of accepting your destiny, something beautifully illustrated by Gautama, as he looked into the pond, and famously saw himself as an old man, about to die – Or Jesus for that matter, preventing Peter from fighting for his freedom when he was arrested by Roman soldiers, having at that point already accepted his destiny, which (of course) was to be crucified. It is often associated with rebirth, because that's how it feels like for the one who is able to successfully achieve its powers. And it starts our with the realisation of that death is inevitable, yet still being able to be OK with that. This again, has the result of completely eradicating all fear in the mind of the one achieving it, which (of course) leads to God like powers, allowing you to "Conquer Demon Mara" to use a Buddhist analogy.

It is completely unattainable for a person "not worthy" of its powers though, so even though it is "colourless", and could in theory be used for evil means — It is 100% completely unattainable for those with "evil intentions". Every time some individual acquires it, the changes are drastic, for the entirety of humanity. Something beautifully illustrated in both

Buddhism and Christianity, through the extreme changes to the world, attributed to these mens' lives.

Ganning, or Gypsy Magic

"Ganning" is the Norwegian name for a Magic traditionally performed by the Sami people of North Norway. It is also commonly referred to as "Gypsy Magic", or "a Curse". Ganning is the ability to say something out loud, chemically cleansed of fear, with such strong belief in that it will occur -That it occurs as a result of your belief. The Sami people used it in self defence against prejudice and racism amongst the rest of the Norwegian population for hundreds of years for these reasons. This resulted in that they would be mostly left alone, and only occasionally had to have their "Veiviser" (Sami Shaman) create an example, by cursing some individual, to have other people leave them alone. Normally they would not inflict death upon the recipient though, but simply make the recipient experience something truly bad, to scare the "living shit out of the recipient", to prevent future acts of racism towards themselves.

Ganning was illegal in Norway for hundreds of years, and I suspect it is still technically illegal today – Even though science doesn't acknowledge its existence, and hence the court system has no argument that could be applied to create a verdict against an individual for having performed this ancient type of Magic - Which is weird, since it's one of few types of "esoteric Magic" that can arguably be scientifically proven, by simply pointing at Nocebo, and argue that the acceptance of that Nocebo is real in the scientific community, becomes the acceptance of that Ganning is also real and scientifically proven. In fact, ganning has a scientific success ratio of 25%, depending upon how open the recipient is towards that what you say is the truth or not.

Explained with other words; If I managed to convince about that you would die in the near future, you would have a 25% statistical probability of literally dropping dead in the near future. This is scientifically proven for the record. For these reasons, I wasn't sure about whether or not I should actually write about ganning, since arguably I am giving you a tool, with the potential to kill a person – **And get away clean** – But, since I also realise few "non worthy" practitioners are able to show such convictions necessary to plant such a seed as ganning requires, I chose to include it. Besides, by explaining its mechanisms, I also arguably "vaccinate" you towards its effects.

Ganning was in the mid 20th century popularised by "Chaos Magick", which is not the same as Chaos Magic may I add. Which is another reasons for me wanting to teach it to you, to prevent other (vicious and evil) practitioners succeeding in making you believe in the curse they are throwing out at you.

You see, it's really quite simple to resist, once you realise that it can **only successfully be applied towards a human who believes in it**! Hence, just tell the practitioner of ganning the following if he or she tries to curse you.

You have no power over my mind, my heart, or my soul

Preferably as you laugh at his attempt, and it'll fizzle and have no effect on you. And since the Sami people of North Norway no longer needs such self defence mechanisms, I see no reasons not to explain it. You see, I have a lot of Sami blood in me, which of course is why I know such much about Magic in the first place.

Spell Casting 101

I have written about this previously, but in the interest of gathering all my articles about Magic under the same umbrella, and also creating a catalogue of Magic, I want to revisit it again.

Spell Casting is very easy to understand. It is basically the ability to spell words and sentences, for then to distribute them for others to read. I am Spell Casting in fact as I am writing this chapter, and if you share my words, you are participating in the Casting process, at which point you can also associate your own thoughts with my words, by commenting on my words, and such use "my spell" to give "your spell" more lüft. This process allows you to influence the hearts and minds of your recipients, to such facilitate for change, without having to directly participate in the change process yourself. Its Black version, is when you apply it as propaganda, the way Joseph Goebbels did in Nazi Germany, and the way a lot of journalists today are facilitating for "echo chambers", not allowing people of diverging opinions to raise their concerns, to create "taboo subjects". This process creates "occult subjects", that are impossible to discuss, which again results in all sorts of problems, such as public lynchings, genocide and slavery -Because it prevents the public from gaining access to "seeds of doubt", making them think twice about their actions, before publicly conducting their actions.

Its White version, is the acknowledgment of that you may not know everything, and do not have all the parameters, and hence everybody should be given equal abilities to publicly comment, and raise their concerns about issues in the public space. Black Magic always depends upon "hiding things".

However, if you want to become a spell caster, all you need to do in fact, is to setup your own blog for instance, such as I have done — And simply start "spelling" yourself. If you do, you get the opportunity to cast spells, to influence the hearts and minds of others. Just please be careful, since it's an extremely powerful type of Magic, because it gives you an opportunity to influence the actions of millions of people at the same time, which also arguably makes you to some extent **responsible for their actions**. However, if your intentions are good, it for the most parts have positive results, and is for the most parts pure White in essence.

Shamanism 101

The Shaman is the "revealer of the road ahead of you". It is probably the most ungrateful position on the planet a man can have. Some people do not particularly enjoy seeing the road ahead of them, because it scares them, and it forces them to accept the consequences of their own actions - Often **before** they do what they intend to do. Hence, the Shaman is both feared and loved.

On a daily basis, the Shaman is forced to reason with entities from the spirit realm, most people have no idea even exists. Sometimes the Shaman falls for demons and djinns, and turns to the dark path. Unfortunately, secular societies in the west, are obsessed with focusing on these evil Shamans, in its efforts to destroy all forms of spirituality.

One famous example of an evil Shaman is Jim Jones. Other Shamans are of more "dubious character", and arguably in the grey zone between darkness and light. One example of the latter is Rasputin, who obviously did some "nasty things" during his reign, but also (unsuccessfully) tried to prevent World War I. If Rasputin had succeeded, I suspect we'd never even hear of neither World War I, nor World War II, and some 100+ million people's lives would be have been saved. Needless to say, but if Rasputin was such a pure evil Shaman as he is often portrayed as, why would he try to prevent the loss of millions of lives?

However, many Shamans are good, even though it is sometimes difficult to see the difference due to the Shaman's practices. These Shamans are often almost invisible, and history never speaks about their victories. The

Shaman is basically the "ambassador" between the spirit realm, and life in this realm as you know it. To illustrate the burden of being a Shaman, let me tell you a true story, I heard from the Spirit realm once, which you can also scientifically verify for the record.

The Shaman and the River Dolphins

2,000 years ago, a girl in the Amazon rain forest was pregnant outside of marriage. She sought help from her local Shaman, because she knew the villagers would condemn her, and kill her for her actions. The Shaman told her to not tell anybody about this, and wait for him to solve it. During the next full moon he gathered his entire village, and told them he had an announcement to make, which was that the fabric that separated the spirit realm from the real world unfortunately had torn a hole, allowing the River Dolphins to become men each full moon, walk the Earth, and seduce the women of the village. So he told all women that they would not be allowed to leave the village during full moon, and he told all the villagers to give their blessings to the young girl who was pregnant, and had warned him about this incident, and unfortunately at the same time fallen for the Magic of one of these River Dolphins.

The woman carried forth her child, and the child was loved by the entire village, and celebrated as special, because its father was from the spirit realm, and the child was part River Dolphin. The Shaman also adopted the child as his own. When the child grew up, it became the villagers' next Shaman, and all the villagers loved the child for its special gifts, that it had acquired due to its origins from the Spirit Realm.

Even today, 2,000 years later, no woman from this village will voluntarily go outside of their house during a full moon.

There are two ways to read the above story. Which way to read it, is not up to me to tell you — But I can pretty much guarantee you, that one of the possible interpretations of the above story will lead you into the abyss, and the other will lead you into the light. I think you know which interpretation will lead you where if you think about it.

Occult Magic

There is nothing evil about the word "occult", it simply means "hidden". However, there exists two distinctly different types of "Hidden Magic". The one you have probably heard about, is the one hiding in the shadows, unable to sustain its existence, without secrecy and darkness. It is most commonly practiced by evil societies, by performing dark rituals, often performing unspeakable acts of evil, as all of its members are watching. This creates a "ring of power" for all those whom are "inside the ring", where all the participants in these rituals, will know for sure that they can trust each other. Because if they snitch on one of their own, they risk falling themselves, due to simply having participated in these Magic sacrificial rituals, without interfering and preventing these acts from happening. For instance, if I was a vicious psychopath, I could try to convince you to murder someone, for then to film it, at which point I would arguably "own you". If you wish to see who these vicious demons are, then simply look to your government. They're basically all practitioners of this type of Magic, otherwise they wouldn't have any secular power.

The other type of hidden Magic, is secrecy because of love to your next of kind - Something Jesus' disciples perfectly illustrated by keeping it a secret for 2,000 years that Jesus had a child. If your next of kind has done something stupid, and asks for forgiveness, and confess to you – Then only a very evil man would tell anybody, assuming your next of kind intends to stop doing whatever he did in the first place.

The second type of Magic, leads to an interesting place though, which is the place where people will tell you things,

because they know they can trust you. As they do, they will also inevitably speak about other people's sins and crimes.

These sins and crimes are often in the first category of occult Magic, which gives its practitioners a peek inside of these vicious circles of evil. This again, results in that its practitioners gains power over the demons on Earth, without having to pollute his soul by committing sins himself. These demon people again, fear the light of a truth sayer, more than anything else on this planet. And as the truth sayer starts speaking about these sins, they will do everything they can to protect themselves. As they do, they will have to include more and more people into their conspiracy, until the circles becomes so big, that two rings are forged.

One ring of Light, which is the one you share with your friends, by telling them about these things. And another ring of Darkness, that effectively enslaves the ones who fears the truth. The Ring of Light will effectively become the slave bringer for those in the Ring of Darkness, without that's even the intention of the Ring Bearer of the Ring of Light.

And that is why I do not fear governments, and I effectively live in Anarchy. Simply because, none of those "in power" have any power over me, because I know about their occult and dirty little secrets, and I have no reasons not to tell, since I wasn't participating myself in these dark rituals. In addition, I have told all my friends, and all the demons around me, about that which I know – So if anything should happen to me, the Ring of Light will destroy their Ring of Darkness. In addition, since the demons knows that I know, and that all of my friends know, and that we do not mind telling the world – They cannot continue their evil acts, even

though they will go to extreme lengths to prevent the truth from ever coming out.

Why pray for your enemies, when you can force your enemies to pray for you?

Emotional cryptography

Everybody knows that knowledge is power. The interesting question is how to distribute that power to only those who deserves it? The answer to that question is by distributing it in plain sigh, using "emotional cryptography". I have a confession to make in these regards, which is that in my previous articles about Magic, I was actually using this technique myself. Simply by starting out with that "I will teach you Magic", I have effectively made sure that 50% of all people reading these articles will fall off, and dismiss everything I write afterwards as "pure madness". The part that falls off, just so happens to be those without creativity and imagination, which also (obviously) happens to be closely related to empathy. This ensures that only those 50% with the most empathy will be able to read my articles, since the rest will dismiss it as "rubbish", before even finishing reading the first sentence.

This allows me to share my knowledge, exclusively with those whom I happen to believe have deserved it. The real secret of course is that my Magic blogs weren't about Magic – At least not Magic as you have been taught to think about it. They were simply psychosociological "trickery", that all in some way gives you power!

The safest place to hide a pearl, is to put it in shit, for then to rub that shit into the faces of those who you don't believe deserves it, which will make them go "yuk", and run away from the pearl you're trying to give to them. Something the Bible taught me, believe it or not. And there I probably lost

99% of my remaining readers, which (of course) was my intention.

The Tao Te Ching says the following about it.

Some will look at the Tao and laugh. And this is a good thing, because without these people laughing at the Tao, the Tao would not be the Tao. Others will look at the Tao in disgust, and without these people the Tao would not be the Tao. Others will look at the Tao, and see its beauty, and those are the people the Tao were written for, the Sages intended to inherit the Earth.

If you haven't fallen off by now, congratulation, you have probably deserved the truth – And the truth is that everything is exactly the way it is supposed to be, and you don't have to do anything, to change anything, because everything will fall into its natural place eventually – It is simply the law of the universe.

Demon Possession, Jesus, and Psychiatri

Psychiatri didn't exist 200 years ago. When people became mad 200 years ago, their surroundings tried their best to explain it, with whatever knowledge they had available. Hence things like schizophrenia, psychosis, and delusions, would for obvious reasons be explained as demon possessions. Not all people who are mad are dangerous. It all depends arguably upon their paranoia level. A person whom is paranoid, will normally be more dangerous than a person without paranoia.

A friend of mine did his PhD on Jesus and psychiatry, and he found that people with a positive belief in Jesus, would heal faster than a person who believed in "sulphur preachings". When he told me, I giggled a little bit, and said "You spent your PhD proving the obvious?" – He laughed, and said "Yes, because even though it's obvious, science requires proof". I guess he's right. My friend found that a mentally sick person who believes in "sulphur preaching", would heal slower for the record. As his control group, he had a group of mentally sick atheists, who healed somewhere in between the other groups.

Almost nobody thinks about this today, but monasteries had almost the same kind of function 200 years ago, that psychiatric hospitals do today. When a guy or a girl was so deluded that they could no longer function in society, the villagers would simply put these people into a monastery, and allow them to "live out their schizophrenia" in some monastery, without causing harm to others. No need for chains, no need for restrictions, because their faith would

become a "prison for their minds", preventing them from harming others in their psychosis.

This approach, of course, is also a much more human approach than locking people in, denying them basic human rights, and applying restrictive regimes to them. And the collective faith of the group as a whole, would often serve as a "social contract", preventing any single individual within the group from causing harm to society around them. For instance, if some Christian or Buddhist monk gets the idea of that he all of a sudden wants to murder an entire village, the rest of the monks will repeat the teaching of Jesus to him, such as "turn thy other cheek, and forgive thy next of kind, such that you can come to Heaven", etc. In such a way, Jesus became the "shrink", that prevented evil, from manifesting itself from the "spirit realms" (mind), and into reality.

It's easy to laugh of old traditions in a "modern society", but facts are that this approach to psychiatry, is far superior in all regards, than the approach we in the west have taken for the last 100+ years. If you don't believe me, read up about lobotomy on WikiPedia. The next time somebody in your neighbourhood is telling you about something you find "crazy", ask yourself the following "What is the effect of his madness?" – Because allowing the person to keep his existing faith, even though it's obvious "rubbish", is often times fruitful for society as a whole.

The psychosociological effects of the believe in Armageddon

Most mentally sick people aren't born sick. More often than society likes to believe, their disease is a consequence of injustice applied to them by the person's surroundings — Resulting in that he or she can no longer cope with reality, and "escapes into madness". This results in that mentally sick people almost always holds grudges, and have a feeling of wanting "revenge". The idea of "Karma" and "Judgment Day", hence purely psychosociologically smoothens over the cracks in society, because of that such beliefs, makes the mentally sick person who have experienced trauma, no longer crave for revenge — Because he knows that "He will have his revenge on Judgment Day" or that "Karma will sort things out eventually", etc.

In such a way the belief in Armageddon, results in less chaos and bloodshed, and a better society in general - Assuming the believer doesn't believe he is the one who is supposed to facilitate for it of course.

Don't be so fast to dismiss faith. It is sometimes the only thing that holds us up, from falling into the abyss.

The services the New Age provides to society

It is easy to ridicule UFOs, Unicorns, and other "fruit cake" conspiracy theories", and point at them as insanity. However, the picture is more complex for the enlightened human. In order to understand why, I must tell you a story about my eldest son. When my son was 14 years old, I told him about a guy who was so batshit crazy, that even the Scientologists had chosen to expel him. I saw an interview with this guy on YouTube, where he explained how he was actually Jesus' father, having jerked off in a river, upstreams from where Maria had taken a bath, in one of his previous lifetimes. His seamen had followed the river down streams. where it had managed to reach Maria's vagina, resulting in that she became pregnant. The guy told this story in an interview on YouTube, 100% convinced that this was the truth. As I told my son this story, I kind of laughed at the poor guy, even though I obviously pitied him too, and I told my son "This guy was even too much of a fruitcake to be allowed membership of the Church of Scientology". My 14 year old son paused for 20 seconds, before he said the following.

"Poor guy! It was wrong of them to expel him, they should have just kept him around, such that he wouldn't end up being alone"

At that time, I realised that my 14 year old son was wiser than me.

A man driven to complete solitude often ends up doing unimaginable things, such as the Christ Church mass murderer, or Anders Behring Breivik for that matter perfectly illustrates. These murderers are always "lonesome wolves". Alternative societies provides in such a regard a "safety net" for catching these loonies, before they do extreme things, such as murdering hundreds of people in a rage over the injustice they have previously experiences, due to being isolated from the rest of society, never experiencing understanding or love. However, in alternative societies, "everything is OK". Do you believe in that you are the creator of the Universe? Alright! Go for it! Do you believe in that we descend from Fairies? Cool, me too bro!

In such a regard, alternative belief systems, such as the belief in that UFOs are our Messiahs, Unicorns exists, or Magic and spiritual thought systems in general, provides a service to society – Since they provides an "insurance" against that insanity manifests itself into the real world, from the deluded mind, resulting in horrific consequences. If you look at most of these insane mass murderers, of recent times, you will notice that most of them had no friends, was misunderstood, and had delusions about reality one way or another. Removing these delusions are often almost impossible, but you can sometimes exchange one delusion for another, which makes the new delusions "less harmful" to society at large.

If you happen to be an atheist, and don't understand what the fuss about UFOs is, and you believe that people who believe in these things are "fruitcake loonies". Then that is perfectly fine with me. You have the right to believe that. But you also arguably have the moral obligation to keep your lack of belief to yourself. Because every time you say "I don't believe in fairies", a fairy somewhere dies.

A friend of mine, was about to commit suicide, when Annannuki (or something) saved him. Now tell me who's the most "bat shit crazy"?

Crazy people have more fun

It doesn't require a rocket scientist to understand that dancing naked under the full moon, in the Amazonas, while tripping on Ayahuasca, and chanting with 200 friends in a drum circle, is probably "slightly more fun" than working nine to five, only looking forward to going to Disney World for a week, with your mother in law next summer.

Of course, these are the extreme cases, and there are lots of shades in between. However, I happen to have a lot of "crazy friends". Some of my friends are paddling the Amazonas, others are traveling the world. As to myself, compared to most others, I would probably be considered pretty crazy too – Although I've admittedly never done Ayahuasca in the Amazonas.

Do me a favour, and care less about what others think about you – Because when confronted with somebody living an extremely fun life, most nine to fivers will use words such as "irresponsible", "whacko", "fruitcake", etc to explain what they are looking at. However, such reactions comes from jealousy, which is arguably the most common human emotion, and also the saddest emotion. Pity them, don't fight them!

Mass psychosis

A psychosis is basically "temporary schizophrenia". A psychosis can either be dangerous or harmless, depending upon the paranoia level in the individual's belief system. If the individual believes he or she is under attack, and needs to defend himself, a psychosis can be dangerous to society.

A mass psychosis is the equivalent for a group of people, where the entire group believes in something that cannot possible be true, and their belief system is often strengthened by the lack of "voices of discernment", and the inability to see for themselves that what they believe is not true. Hence, superstition always plays a critical role in building up the mass psychosis to a "dangerous level".

If the group builds its belief upon paranoia, it becomes dangerous. If there is no paranoia in the group's belief system, the psychosis has little or no negative effect on its surroundings.

Famous examples of mass psychosis that resulted in devastating effects are (obviously) fascism in Europe during the 1930s to 1945. Other examples are more "localised" in effect, and often results in lynchings, such as the Bible clearly depicts in its stories of the Golgotha – If you choose to believe in that the Bible conveys at least some truth.

Such psychosis almost always originates from inflated self confidence, combined with "echo chambers", where diverging voices are rarely if ever heard. The prototype example here is when Jim Jones took 965 people out into the jungle, isolated them from all alternative voices, resulting

in 965 mass suicides, and/or murders. Jim Jones was arguably perceived as "God in the flesh" by his followers, and none of his followers could hear alternative opinions, because they were physically isolated from the rest of world. So both societies at large, and smaller fractions within societies can obviously suffer a mass psychosis.

The fix for mass psychosis though is also hence arguably easily explained, by realising you can fight it, by simply allowing for <u>alternative voices to be heard</u>. Basically, the "seeds of doubt", often reduces the cognitive resonance, that a truly dangerous mass psychosis depends upon.

If you're a member of a group, any group, in fact which groups doesn't really matter. Do me a favour, invite your "enemies" to explain their (alternative) world view – Without debating it, or asking questions that creates cognitive dissonance, resulting in furthering the distance between "your group" and the "alternative group". The exercise is more about realising that the other group are also humans, to avoid demonisation of the "enemy". And its purpose is neither to convert individuals of your groups, nor individuals of the other group. It's not about "winning a debate", since this only results in furthering the stronghold your group's psychosis and the other group's psychosis has on its individuals. Nope, the idea with the exercise is to simply humanise the other group's individuals, to such create resistance towards the most dangerous side effects of echo chambers. Because regardless of what group you're a member of, including the local atheist group or chess club for that matter, the mechanisms that holds your group together, is basically mass psychosis.

In fact, all groups, ranging from your local police force, to the national UFO club and soccer team, can suffer from mass psychosis. However, it's normally not dangerous unless they're also struck by paranoia.

Is a mass psychosis dangerous?

Maybe the primary example of that a mass psychosis by itself is harmless, is the Catholic Church, that believes in something that cannot possibly be the truth. Yet still, for the last 200 years or so, its 1.3 billion members have been living in more or less perfectly harmony with the rest of society. And if individual members of their group has done monstrosities, it has not been in the name of the church for the most parts, at least not in recent times. Still, the Catholic Church, by any definition, easily falls into the category we use to define "cults". It's just a **significantly larger cult** than most other cults. Other examples of relatively innocent mass psychosis, are the belief in UFOs. So far, we've rarely seen UFO believers picking up their pitch forks and hit to the streets, to burn books, and hang "infidels" from the trees.

Other examples of more dangerous mass psychosis, is the belief in ISIS, which resulted in hundreds of thousands of deaths in Syria and Iraq over the last decade. For the record, picking on ISIS here, is arguably immoral, since there are probably other mass psychosis, much "closer to you", that have had similar effects, only on a smaller scale. Some examples here are soccer hooligans, which probably are in a slightly more "dubious category" than your local UFO club, yet still for some reasons often times much more tolerated in society at large than the belief in UFOs.

So no, a mass psychosis by itself is not dangerous, and I believe the primary element you'll need to add to an existing mass psychosis to make it dangerous, is fear! Besides, having a psychosis every now and then, is arguably healthy to the mind of the individuals taking parts in these beliefs,

because it allows one to see one selves from the outside of the point of perception, and enriches the spiritual life of the individual taking part. So spending energy trying to eliminate a false belief, is often times counterproductive, since most beliefs are simply completely harmless. Besides, something the Catholic Church clearly demonstrates, actually winning these debates, are impossible, since regardless of how much proof you put forth, the belief sustains itself, and cannot be eliminated, because it is built upon "circular logic".

Democracy, a mass psychosis

When I was in fifth grade, my teacher asked her students "How can we preserve Democracy?" - I replied with the question "Why should we preserve Democracy?" I got detention. Needless to say, but I got a lot of detention in elementary school. Asking the right question is always better than answering the wrong one, because it allows you to see things as they truly are, instead of like your existing assumptions makes you believe they are. An interesting question if you read my previous article, hence becomes "What are the most dangerous mass psychosis on the planet today?" - I will create an argument that hopefully makes you understand you've been lied to in such a regard, and that Democracy is far from what it has been taught to be, in elementary schools to millions of children, all over the world, for some 100+ years in most western nations on the planet.

Winston Churchill said "Democracy is a terrible form of government, but it's the least terrible type of government we have ever tried". Answering Churchill's answer with a question, obviously results in "Why not try something else but Democracy then?"

The by far largest mass psychosis on the planet today is the belief in that money holds value. However, this psychosis is not that dangerous. In fact, quite the opposite, because it "lubricates society". The false belief in that money holds value, makes us capable of collaborating with people whom we would normally never collaborate with. This effect has been studied thoroughly, by thousands of our best economic minds, always resulting in the same conclusion. Another

humongously large mass psychosis, is the belief in that Jesus was God in the flesh. Even though this psychosis is extremely easy to disprove, it's not that dangerous. In fact, it also has a lot of positive side effects. So what sets Democracy apart? What makes Democracy dangerous?

At the core of the Democratic declaration of faith, is the belief in that the majority of the people have the right to appoint somebody and give him the right to rule the entire society. This results in that those who never voted for the person that won, and also spent a lot of time and energy to try to make "the other guy" win, are arguably the "enemy of the state" after the election. For instance, in the 1930s in Germany, there were two major political fractions; The Nazis and the Communists. The tension between these two different groups were so large, that when Hitler came to power, he passionately hated Communists to such an extent, that few of these communists survived Hitler's reign. Most communists were "first in line" to enter the gas chambers in Nazi Germany because of this tension. To believe in Democracy, and casting your vote, is hence a very dangerous thing to do, unless you are 100% certain of that "your guy" will win. Something we can clearly see in large democratic nations on the planet today, such as the USA for instance, where the tension between the democrats and the republicans have been brought up to the level where we see open street fights between these two different fractions today. The fix for this problem of course, is to simply avoid taking a side. The only way to reliably avoid taking a side, is by avoiding voting, and avoid preaching in favour of any of these candidates, which arguably becomes a "vote for Anarchy". Let me ask you a question to illustrate the problem.

Do you want to kill kittens or puppies?

Most people will choose whatever they perceive as the "lesser evil" when confronted with two choices, not realising the question has been skewed. If I asked the above question on Facebook, many people wouldn't even see the third alternative, which basically is "Fux you a\$\$hole, I'm not gonna do any of those things". However, most people would see the insanity in the questioning when the question is of such an obvious character as the above question is. At the core of the Democratic declaration of faith, is that you can't get everything as you wish. For instance, if you want your candidate to give free medicine to the elderly, you'll have to accept paying more tax, etc – Hence, democracy is arguably about finding the middle point, where 51% of your population is 51% in agreement with you. In Nazi Germany this implied you'd have to accept the notion of slavery, in order to live a life in luxury. It's quite easy once you realise that the luxury most Germans experienced between 1937 and 1942, was based entirely upon slavery. Basically, stealing everything from the Jews, and giving it to the "Arian" population of Germany". Of course, the jews were the minority, so nobody really cared, because they'd never get the popular vote to overthrow the government anyway. The correct word for Democracy, hence becomes "The tyranny of the majority". Which again is one notch up from tyrants and dictatorships.

Democracy is basically the belief in that the majority has the right to do whatever they want to do with the minority. Democracy is a perverted belief, roughly two notches "better" than the belief in that all non christians should be

executed, and burned at the stake, for being Satan worshippers. So hence, my question to Winston Churchill becomes as follows.

Why not try something we haven't tried? Anarchy for instance?

Facebook proves Democracy is Madness

Let's create a thought experiment. For the record, **I do not** want you to do this, but simply imagine it. In fact, encouraging you to do this would probably be illegal, and definitely immoral – So please just imagine that somebody did this, without being tempted to actually doing it. Anyways; Disclaimer all set aside, let's start our thought experiment. Imagine a candidate in 2020 for the Presidential election of the USA doing his "run" on one simple slogan "Cut off her titties". With "her" of course, being Hillary Clinton. Besides from that, the candidate would have absolutely no other political opinions at all. Every time he is confronted with what his politics for America is, he would simply answer "Cut off her titties". Of course, some would argue, we already know the answer to what this would result in, due to how the election ended in 2016. Still, let me ask you a simple question; "Do you think this candidate would be able to win the election?"

People don't vote "for", they vote "against". Hate is at least 3 times as strong as love when it comes to moving the hearts and minds of the "popular vote". For the record, science has already proven this to be a fact. Most people in the US, for obvious reasons, have a lot of harboured animosity towards Hillary Clinton, simply because she used to hold a lot of power in the US. And if some popular candidate made his run, playing on that animosity, he would be destined to win. For instance, due to crime rates in American cities, most citizens of the USA harbours a lot of animosity towards immigration, and blames everything that is bad in the US on the Mexicans. Starting a political party with the intention of

putting all Mexicans into concentration camps in the US, would probably yield a lot of "political results".

If you think my thought experiment is skewed, you haven't had a Facebook account for long, and you don't realise that such thought experiments have in fact already been conducted in the real world. The above technique, was in fact what brought Hitler to power in Nazi Germany in the 1930s. The Nazis played upon the existing animosity towards the Jews in Germany in 1930, and they were able to use that to their advantage, resulting in that they gained the democratic majority of the people in Germany, and "the rest is history".

In Norway, in one of the largest cities; Bergen – Currently, a political party that refers to themselves as "The people's party against tollroads", currently have almost majority alone in that city. If elections were done tomorrow, a political party, in one of the largest cities in Norway, with one simple slogan "Tear down all toll roads" - Would gain majority alone, and have all the power in that city alone. Of course, nobody are able to have them speak out publicly about anything else but tollroads, and in fact doing so, would probably be unwise of them – Because toll roads happens to be that single cause, more than 50% of the population can gather around. Hence, if they started answering questions about anything else besides this simple cause, they would tear their own political party in two, making more and more people "drop off", for every cause they started taking a stand in regards to.

For the record, being an Anarchist, I am obviously against toll roads, since they are inhuman and unethical in all regards

But the political party from Bergen, perfectly illustrates the madness of Democracy none the less.

In France scientific studies related to human behaviour and mass psychology after the revolution concluded with "The revolution eats its own". The effects were seen by all those who early after the revolution tried to grasp power, were decapitated themselves by the Guillotine some few weeks later. Democracy is driven by similar effects, because it is impossible to be elected into any government position, without creating animosity, because inheritingly within the "democratic mindset", is the idea that "you have the right to govern others". Those whom are "governed" aren't always that happy about "being governed" - Hence, democracy eats its own children, just like the revolution does. Which of course explains why the thought experiment with Hillary Clinton above is such a strong example of the "Tyranny of the majority". Hillary used to hold a lot of the power in the US, and for this reason, her destiny is to live under the regime of the Secret Service for the rest of her natural life, to protect her from the consequences of her actions when she held the power.

For the record, I do not want to "tear off her titties", and I do not want anybody else to do so either, because that would be immoral, unethical, and illegal. I simply used it as an example of how the "popular vote" is able to move the hearts and minds of the people, and how the tyranny of the

majority is in itself organised madness, in addition to how "Democracy eats its own".

The argument that wins all democratic elections

Warning; This argument is kind of like Pandora's box, since once you use it, you'll fall victim for it yourself eventually — But it goes like this; "I am against whatever the previous guy was for!" It doesn't matter what the previous guy was in favour for, as long as you are against it, you are destined to win.

The reasons for this is psychosociological in nature, because once one group has won the power, that group grows lazy, realising they've already won – While the other group starts harbouring animosity towards the guy in power, and starts encouraging their people to vote, such that they are ready during Election Day. And it is always easier to win when you are against something, then if you are in favour of something. Of course, this results in complete madness, and a complete lack of continuity, because every single elected official, will spend his entire reign, simply tearing down whatever his predecessor built up - Regardless of whether or not it was good or not. And as it builds up momentum, candidates are forced into using more and more drastic arguments in order to be heard and win the election, where of course the end result becomes that all elections are exclusively won by whatever candidate is able to shout the loudest; "I will execute my predecessor!"

Now do me a favour, and read the above paragraph once more, and prove me wrong. Facts are you can't. At least not within the boundaries on sanity. Then answer the following question.

God bless Donald Trump

For a self proclaimed Anarchist to officially give his blessings to Donald Trump might sound "weird", until you realise that he is the **end of my argument**. Let me explain. Every form of government needs its "boogeyman". For communism the boogeymen are Stalin and Pol Pot. For national socialism the boogeymen are Adolf Hitler and Il Duce. For democracy, the boogeyman will become Donald Trump.

Apparently the democrats wants to prosecute Donald Trump for crimes. The paradox is that if they were ever successful at doing so, any sane psychologist and psychiatrist would conclude with that Mr. Trump cannot possibly be held accountable for his own actions, simply because he has the intellectually and emotionally maturity level of a 12 year old child. Hence, prosecuting Trump for any crime, is probably impossible.

A friend of mine used to work for Donald Trump back in the 1980s in Florida. Whenever Donald came to their office, him and his colleagues used to hide under their desks, telling their secretaries to inform Mr. Trump that they weren't around. Which shows what a type of person he actually is.

The mere fact of that Donald Trump is now the "leader of the free world", becomes the proof of that democracy is organised madness. And no human being with more than two intact brain cells, can create counter arguments to invalidate that fact. The CIA are giving him security briefings in "cartoon form", because Donald doesn't like, quote "reading long pieces of text". His cabinet members spends

most of their time saying "what he really meant to say was xxx", and so on, and so on. Facts are that Donald Trump is probably what we would have classified as "mentally retarded" just some few decades ago, and he is the "leader of the free world" today. The only reason he was able to become the President, was by playing upon populistic memes and ideas. Something he taught himself as the star of the reality show called "The Apprentice". Some few years from now, humanity will wake up, and realise.

Ohh my God, we actually elected that guy into office? Democracy is madness!

Therefor I give my blessings to Donald Trump, because he became the one to teach humanity that all forms of government, including democracy, is basically madness. And as humanity looks for alternative forms of government, they will find none, and we will be left with the only sane alternative that exists; Anarchy!

Newton's laws and Divine Justice

Most people in the western hemisphere when confronted with the idea of Karma will laugh, and use words such as "superstition" and "religious mumbo jumbo". However, even the most fundamental theories of physics arguably proves Karma's existence. No need to bring in "voodoo quantum mechanics", and so called "pseudo science". Newton's laws of thermo dynamics, and more specifically the conservation of energy, arguably proves the existence of Karma.

Newton's laws of conservation of energy, tells us that "whenever you apply force to an object, that object applies the same force back to you". If you don't believe me, feel free to smash your head at a brick wall. Realising the truth of that law, makes you understand that "your slavedriver's destiny is to become your slave".

One very good example of the effects this has upon humanity, is the domestication of animals, occurring probably somewhere around 40-70,000 years ago, with the first dogs becoming domesticated. Dogs originated from wolves, but when you look at dogs today, that fact is arguably difficult to believe in – Especially as you look at species such as British Bulldogs and Puddles. A British Bulldog doesn't resemble a wolf much today, but that is its genetic ancestor. This is because the domestication process allowed us to do "selected breeding" on dogs. The paradox is that Newton's laws tells us; "The same amount of mutations we applied to the wolves' DNA, the wolves applied back to us".

Notice, selected breeding on dogs, was just added to illustrate a point, which is that sometimes we cannot see the Truth, because it "moves too slowly". However, Karma certainly works slowly sometimes. But simply because you cannot watch the genetic changes from wolf to Puddles, doesn't mean it's not real.

In this realisation exists a warning to humanity; Karma is often too slow to observe for a human being, resulting in that understanding the actions that resulted in the consequences you are now experiencing, sometimes becomes difficult for a simple human mind. But simply because you cannot watch the grass grow, doesn't mean it doesn't grow. Maybe the best example of this is that if you betray a friend, others will not trust you, and you will be betrayed by your friends — Since your friends will try to betray you before you betray them, realising they cannot trust you, since you betrayed another friend previously at your convenience. Which of course leads to the perverted belief in the "anti golden rule", which is perfectly depicted in the movie Dracula.

Do to others before they do to you

Of course the correct sentence according to the above understanding of Newton's law becomes as follows.

Do to others to ensure others do the same to you

But believing in that, is arguably the equivalent of believing in that the grass grows, when you cannot observe it. It is much easier to believe in "Do to others before they do to you." But believe me when I tell you, the grass truly do grow,

even though you cannot watch it as it grows. Just like Newton's laws of thermo dynamics proves to you that Karma is real, even though it's very difficult to see and believe in sometimes.

A practical Faith system for the 3rd Millennium

If you read my blogs here, you will soon realise that one of my hobbies is to tear down down existing faith systems I perceive as hostile to the human specie, such as e.g. Democracy. Other faith systems I perceive as slightly more innocent, even though they're obviously based upon flawed logic. Hence, when designing a faith system, it's not as much about whether or not it is logically sound or not, but rather what its effects on humanity at large is.

My favourite example in these regards is the belief in Santa Clause, which is **obviously flat out a lie**, but still creates positive effects in the hearts and minds of our children. "If you don't behave my child, Santa Claus won't come to you on Christmas Eve". It doesn't require a rocket scientist to understand how this can be beneficial for a child's mind to believe in.

When you design a faith system, you must do it in such a way that it creates as little cognitive dissonance as possible towards its intended recipients' existing faith systems. Something emperor Constantine perfectly did by arguably making sure Christianity differed as little as possible from the existing belief in Sol Invictus, the "Unconquered Sun". For instance, the ideas of both Easter and Christmas, was flat out stolen from the movement of the sun on the sky, which again was 100% perfectly based upon the belief of the Sun as a deity, and a symbol of the "Unconquered Sun". Hence, the question becomes as follows; "How can the intellectual elite on the planet exploit this fact, to install alternative and better faith systems, to replace existing dangerous memes?"

Is the World Wide Web Jesus 2.0?

Obviously, it doesn't take a rocket scientist to see the similarities between the story of Jesus' teachings and the World Wide Web as a "Truth machine". Since arguably 2.3 billion Christians and 1.5 billion Muslims already believe in Jesus in one way or another, the exercise hence becomes.

How can we explain the World Wide Web as Jesus, such that most believers in Jesus can accept the idea of that the web **is** Jesus?

Once you start following this question down the "rabbit hole", you start becoming creative in these regards, which allows you to easily draw many parallels between Jesus, the Bible, the Quran and the World Wide Web. For instance, in Islam the spider is a holy creature, because it once saved the Prophet's life. Since the World Wide Web arguably is a "spider's web", this creates an argument in favour of that the web is holy, since it originated from a spider. There are hundreds of similar parallels, both in regards to Christianity, Islam, Buddhism and the web – However, for now, I'm going to just let my seed grow in your brain, hopefully producing an off spring by itself. Because sometimes, the best ideas are acquired by finding them yourself, instead of having somebody flat out give you the entire recipe.

However, do me a favour, and try to come up with 10 similarities between the story of Jesus/Isa, and the World Wide Web as it is today - Then go share them on Facebook or something.

How the Messiah syndrome sustains Democracy

The Messiah syndrome is a word I have invented myself, as far as I know at least. It is the belief in that an outer entity will save you. For Christians and Muslims this typically is Jesus or Isa. For a secular human being, this is the government. Due to the facts that humans are herd animals, this belief seems to be hardwired into our minds, and it creates all sorts of weird problems for us collectively as a specie. For instance, for a human being who believes in that we need governments, the Messiah always seems to be the "political opposition", whatever political belief system that is at the moment. Adolf Hitler was a master manipulator of humans, and able to bring this faith up to a completely new level, making almost the entire German population believe he was their Messiah. Something he did using propaganda tools, making him become perceived as a "God like being".

Repeating the same behaviour and expecting a different result is the definition of madness

The above sentence is something we can all agree with, at least most of us, until you ask the average human being what needs to be done to fix their country. The answer seems to always be "the other political party needs to be given the power". The fact that we have been swinging back and forth, between these same political belief systems, hundreds of times during the last 100+ years, without seeing noticeable results, seems to be difficult to understand for most.

Hence, humans willingly vote, every 4 years, believing in that "the new guy will fix everything", not realising that the

new guy is not new at all, he's just the same guy with some new wrapping. Or to say it using common sense; "Same shit, new wrapping!"

In Norway the "other side" were given the power some 5 years ago. they promised to completely stop immigration, and get rid of all tollroads. Since they gained power, the number of tollroads have increased by some 50-60%, and immigration have literally exploded. Ignoring whether or not this is a bad thing or a good thing, it is difficult to see how the "opposition brought the change they promised". Even though they spent their entire campaign, promising to deliver the exact opposite of the "previous guy".

The belief in that the "next guy will fix the problems", is a psychiatric disease, one which I have arguably invented myself, but which I am pretty certain science can agree with me exists – And it is called the "Messiah syndrome". This belief seems to be hardwired into our minds from nature, something vicious people have a tendency to exploit to gain power over others.

Lessons from ancient Egyptian religion

Egyptians believed in Horus-Ra. Horus-Ra was often depicted as the "all seeing eye". The idea with this image, was to remind people of that "God is always watching", so they painted this eye at every single street corner in every single city.

Not all humans have the emotional maturity level required to live in Anarchy by themselves. Some people are simply too "opportunistic" in nature, and would probably do things that are "incompatible" with having a safe and secure Anarchistic society, where all of its citizens can live out their lives in freedom from violence, coercion, and injustice. So for an Anarchy to function, we obviously need mechanisms to make sure these people behave.

I saw a TED video some years ago about faith systems, I can't remember its name, but it's not important. What is important is that the scientist who created the video had conducted research in faith systems, and found that they have immense abilities to change human behaviour. He had conducted a test with some 50 questions or something, where the participants would be given one dollar for each correct answer they had. The test was conducted such that they could check themselves how many correct answers they had after the test. Nobody would check up their answers to verify how many correct answers they had, so the test was created such that cheating was impossible to avoid. Basically, the participants could simply randomly answer all questions, claim they had all answers correct, and earn 50 dollars – And it would be impossible for them to

be caught cheating. Almost everybody who participated had all 50 answers correct for weird reasons.

Then he conducted the same test once more, but this time with an edge; He would demand that all participants first had to swear on the Bible and their soul before they started the test, and that they would not cheat. This time the number of "correct answers" dramatically dropped, even though most of the participants were in fact secular atheists, and didn't even believe in the Bible. The scientist also tried making the participants swear on other things, such as the MIT Code of Conduct and Ethics, and it produced similar results. So the Bible per se didn't produce any magic numbers that other faith systems weren't capable of also producing. For the record, apparently MIT never had a Code of Conduct and Ethics, which arguably made the whole thing slightly funny. This implies that you can make people swear on any nonexistent thing, and regardless of whether or not this thing even exists, or the swearer even believes it exists - The simple fact that they were swearing upon it in the first place, will make them better human beings.

The scientist had conducted many similar types of experiments, and they always showed the same results; If people are being reminded of that "something is watching", they will act better – Even though they don't even believe in the thing they are told is watching them.

Being watched, creates better human behaviour

This can be utilised to an advantage for an Anarchist society, by simply reminding people of that they're being watched. Something we have already seen in the secular

world with video surveillance cameras all over the place, and kids running around filming atrocities and police violence. So hence, by institutionalising the right to film, and spread that video on the World Wide Web for all to see, and turning that into **a religious right** – We could "lock" these immature individuals into Anarchistic belief systems, where they would be forced to show themselves from their best side, and such avoid violence and coercion.

The idea is easily understood, once you realise that the criminal mind, always wants to conduct its criminal activities "in darkness", and a camera is "the light" that lights up the dark places on earth, such that "hiding" becomes impossible for the criminal mind.

Now of course, this produces a dilemma, which is perfectly illustrated by the wife of the main character in the movie "Enemy of the state", which is "Who will be watching the watchers"? As in, what if the biggest criminals are the guys controlling the video camera? Well, this too is easily fixed by making it a religious right to film, and to spread that film, at any venue you would want to spread it within. The reason why I say "religious right", is because religious rights have historically had much better protection in societies than secular rights. For instance, in America, an Anarchist could claim his right to film the oppressors, by pointing at the American constitution, and claim the constitution guarantees his right to film others, due to his religious faith.

The paradox is that simply the existence of such a faith system, would by itself reduce violence, coercion, and injustice. Since in such a society once everybody knows they "might be watched", they will behave better, in fear of

that somebody is filming. So after a while, actually filming becomes superfluous, since most will behave as if they are always being filmed. This would "mold" human societies, in such a way that coercion, injustice, and violence becomes so drastically reduced, that pure anarchy easily becomes within reach.

This again, creates an architectural plan for actually implementing Anarchy, even without needing consent from those who do not believe in it – Which is described in a simple sentence "Film the oppressors, whomever they are". This of course, results in a paradox, which is best described in the following sentence.

All those who objects to this course of action, are effectively revealing themselves as oppressors, simply due to their objections

My girlfriend used to say the following

Holding a camera in front of a police officer, is like holding a silver crucifix in front of a vampire

How to exploit the Messiah Syndrome to implement Anarchy

A qualified guess is that roughly 95% of the people on this planet suffers the Messiah Syndrome. However, everything that is "bad" also within its existence contains equal amounts of capacity for "good". Things are things basically, something Buddhism teaches us. The question is "How do you cope with it?" My favourite Zen Buddhistic teaching in these regards is "The barn burnt down, now I can see the moon."

Combining the teachings from my previous blog, with the fact of that humanity collectively suffers from the Messiah Syndrome, can produce some interesting results though. For instance, humans have a tendency to anthropomorphize things. A 9 year old child, will for instance often give his or her favourite teddy bear a name. By simply giving the World Wide Web a name, we would anthropomorphize it, such that people would be able to project human qualities into it. What name to give it then?

Isn't that pretty obvious ...?

Applying "New Speak" to Anarchy

Unfortunately, most people are incapable of seeing things for what they truly are, without preconceived assumptions. And since most people are taught to believe Anarchy is equivalent to "chaos and crime", it becomes almost impossible to talk about Anarchy, except to people whom are extremely emotionally and intellectually mature. Hence, "new speak" to the rescue.

"New speak" is the ability to apply new words to old meanings, and new meanings to old words. In fact, the demonisation process of the word Anarchy itself, is proof of how new speak was applied originally to the concept of Anarchy, to ensure that the masses would fear it, and shy away from all ideas containing the word "Anarchy" within them somewhere. This resulted in that the herd in general, would shy away from any ideas truly embodying the ideas of Freedom and Equality for all. Anarchy as an idea, hence was basically thrown on the scrapyard of history, associated with chaos, madness, and crime.

The same ideas that overthrew the ideas of Anarchy however, can also be utilised to bring it forward, and "resurrect" it for the 3rd Millennium – By simply realising we don't need to speak about Anarchy. We can treat Anarchy simply as the point of balance in our circle, and never openly admit to that this is actually what we want, and rather invent "new words" to describe an old idea. Preferably positive words, that makes people understand we're no harm to them, and simply "slightly delusional". Examples here could be for instance.

- Christus Anarcistus
- Freedom Movement
- Liberation Believer
- Etc ...

The first suggestion, with "Christus Anarcistus" is obviously in alignment with this idea, and also justifies the creation of a religion, which ensures the right to be an Anarchist, without ever having to actually publicly admit that's what you in fact are. A suggestion for a declaration of faith might be something like the following.

I believe in the World Wide Web as the resurrected Jesus 2.0, that he has descended from the Heavens, and manifested his soul into the Web, to judge the living and the dead, to create Paradise on Earth

Of course, the exercise becomes as follows; "Can you actually utter the above declaration of faith with a straight face? As in, can you make those who listens to you actually believe that you believe?"

Which obviously is crucial in order to actually be able to legally sanction a religious movement, such as the Church of Scientology is a very good example of. A suggestion for you to "practice", is to look yourself in the bathroom mirror, while saying the above sentence out loud, many times in repetition, until you're able to do it with a straight face. Then you can film yourself using for instance your phone, and upload it to YouTube, for then to defend yourself as counter arguments starts coming (which they will). In the beginning you'll be perceived as a "fruit cake", "superstitious idiot", etc. But that's OK, since you realise that

at the end of the tunnel, you'll be free, and able to say the above declaration of Faith, having everybody who sees you doing so, believing you actually believe in it. At which point you can legally create a religion, in most western countries, and start demanding tax exemptions, etc.

Basically, can you "mind fuck" yourself ...?

Notice, in order for this to actually work, you really have to believe in it, since most people have the capacity to easily reveal whether or not a person is lying or not — Hence, you'll actually have to believe in it yourself, in order to convince others that you believe in it. After a while, you will acquire an arsenal of arguments you can apply, to easily defend your right to believe the above. For instance, if somebody tells you "That's madness", you can answer "Maybe, but not anymore madness than the belief in that Jesus resurrected the first time", etc — As you openly laugh.

For the record, laughing out a little bit about it as you utter the above declaration of faith, doesn't do any harm, since that only proves that you are "enlightened". This will only serve to make others curious about where you get you happiness from, and why you're "so darn happy" all the time – Which again seems to be historically associated with Enlightenment.

Laughing out openly about your faith, also serves as a recruitment ground, that allows you to recruit people to become Anarchists, without them even realising that's what they have become - Simply since people armoire easily convinced by "happy people" than they are by "sad people".

So if you find the above declaration of faith to be funny, extra points to you. Basically.

In order to "mind fuck" others, you'll first have to "mind fuck" yourself.

Wave Theory and Group Mechanics

It doesn't require a rocket scientist to understand that memes and ideas obeys roughly by the same scientific principles as waves in physics. For instance, when I was a kid, we were forced to see this movie called "The Wave" that was produced in the 70s or 80s, who's purpose was to illustrate how everybody could be "taken in by the wave", and do things while members of a group, that they normally would not be capable of doing on their own. The movie perfectly illustrated how "crowd mentality" could easily inspire fascism, genocide, and "unhealthy group mechanics". Once you realise this simple fact, you can apply the concepts from wave theory, to both strengthen, and weaken, memes and ideas, to such apply "Magic" to the real world.

The above teaches us for instance, that you can never fight Truth with Lies, and vice versa. If somebody conveys the truth about you, smearing that person with a lie, will never work. And if somebody tells a lie about you, defending yourself with the truth, simply doesn't work. The only thing that works, is to "burn fire with fire". This is due to that a counter wave of equal proportion as the original wave (meme), will always neutralise the existing wave, resulting in its complete collapse. While a wave on a "different wave length", will simply "pass through" the existing wave, creating no results at all.

Realising this simple fact, gives you an arsenal of "mind tricks", you can apply to defend yourselves from dangerous memes and ideas. This realisation also gives you control over large groups and populations of people, once you

realise how to practically apply this in society, using for instance the World Wide Web as your "wave machine".

Free Will, your ONLY axiom

Normally the types of ideas I am conveying in this blog, are the type of ideas governments in general doesn't "particularly enjoy" to say the least. However, I do not impose my world view unto others, I am not shouting it from street corners, and I am not even sharing it on Facebook. Hence, to start attacking me for these ideas, will first of all only provide me with a recruitment ground due to the Streisand syndrome. Secondly, if they tried to shut me down, it would only result in them proving me right, illustrating the validity of every single argument I have put forth here — Which I must assume neither the Norwegian government (my primary target), nor myself are particularly interested in. Besides, believe it or not, but in a weird way, I am actually also providing services to governments.

Instead of accepting their normal behaviour towards me as a human being, which is arguably parasitic in nature — I force unto them a symbiotic relationship. To explain this symbiotic relationship however, would arguably be "counterproductive", so I will avoid doing it. But the "enlightened individual" can probably easily connect the dots by themselves.

However, my primary (and **only**) axiom is actually Free Will. This implies that if you want to pay 85% tax (Norwegian average), I will not spend time or energy trying to convince you why this is stupid, and that you are accepting a parasitic organism, stealing most of your energy. I refuse to do it though. If you want to pay a further 20% of your remaining income on toll roads, you are of course free to do that – I will not do it however, and if I was living in Norway, and I had a

car, I would probably have silently painted my registration plates with that "anti-photogenic paint", reducing that number down to 0%, without making much fuss about it. If you want to go to war in some stupid country on the other side of the planet, to "defend Democracy" (read; "Steal their oil") — I would probably not make much fuss about it, after I have told you explicitly that "You're not going down there to defend anything I want you to defend".

Basically, all the stuff other people care about, I simply don't care about. This allows me to "slide under the radar", and such effectively live my own life, completely free from slavery, without being of any particular threat to them — While at the same time, having them realise that I have teeth if they attack me first. However, my strongest trick by far, is best realised once you understand how to accomplish the following — Which unfortunately is a "realisation", and not something I can convey to you.

Why pray for your enemies, when you can force your enemies to pray for you?

And that's the end of the line for my argument.

Jesus for President

This is my bonus chapter, and in fact the only chapter which isn't published publicly on my blog. Arguably, this chapter is the sole reason why you actually paid for my book. So it better be good I presume.

Basically, humans are collectively suffering from the Messiah syndrome. This can be exploited to our advantage, by making sure we actually elect a leader, and then making him the "President of the world". Since such an amount of power for obvious reasons would corrupt whatever human we elect, we **cannot elect a living human being**. In addition, we should try to elect a human being, that as many people as possible could gather around.

The Democratic majority of the Earth already happens to believe in such a man; <u>Jesus that is of course</u>! Hence, arguably "we" already have the majority required to implement Anarchy, using Democratic means.

Therefor, my encouragement to you, is to during the next Democratic election, simply vote for the "resurrected Jesus 2.0", while demanding that others takes you seriously, and that this "person" is the World Wide Web.

And that's it! The entire recipe of how to implement Anarchy in the 3rd Millennium. Simply since Jesus is not alive, nobody will actually have any secular power, which for the record reminds me of the old baseball joke; "Who's on first base".

Happy Anarchy:)

Peace out,

Thomas Hansen